## **EXHIBIT I**

Pages 1-4

|   | Th.  | 1  |  | Pages 1 |
|---|--|--|--|---------|
| 1 | STATE OF OHIO, )   | 1 1  | APPEARANCES CONTINUED:   | Pag     |
|   | COUNTY OF SUMMIT. ) SS:  | 2  |  |         |
| 2 |  |  | On behalf of Defendant Minas Floros, D.C.:   |         |
|   | IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS   | 3  | Shaun H. Kedir, Esq.   |         |
| 3 |  | 4  | LAW OFFICES OF GLENN D. FEAGAN, P.S.C.   |         |
|   | MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.,)  |  | 101 W. Prospect Avenue   |         |
| 1 | )  | 5  | Cleveland, Ohio 44115  |         |
|   | Plaintiffs, )  |  | 216-696-2852   |         |
| 5 | )  | 6  | skedir@feaganlaw.com   |         |
|   | vs. ) JUDGE BREAUX   | 7  |  |         |
| 5 | ) CASE NO. CV-2016-09-3928   |  | On behalf of Defendant Rob A. Nestico, Esq.;   |         |
| U | KISLING, NESTICO & )   | 8  |  |         |
| _ |  |  | David M. Best, Esq.  |         |
| 7 | REDICK, LLC, et al., )   | 9  | DAVID M. BEST CO., LPA<br>4900 West Bath Road  |         |
|   | )  | 10   | Akron, Ohio 44333  |         |
| 8 | Defendants. )  |  | 330-665-1855   |         |
| 9 |  | 11   | dmb@dmbestlaw.com  |         |
|   | THE VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF  | 12   |  |         |
| D | THERA REID   |  | On behalf of Defendant Robert W. Redick Esq.:  |         |
|   | TUESDAY, JULY 3, 2018  | 13   |  |         |
| 1 |  |  | Daniel P. Goetz, Esq.  |         |
| 2 | The deposition of THERA REID, called by the  | 14   | WEISMAN, KENNEDY & BERRIS CO., LPA   |         |
| 3 | Defendants for examination pursuant to the Ohio  |  | 1600 Midland Building  |         |
|   | Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me, the   | 15   | Cleveland, Ohio 44115  |         |
| 5 | undersigned, Margaret A. Trombetta, RMR and Notary   | 16   | 216-781-1111<br>dgoetz@weismanlaw.com  |         |
|   | Public within and for the State of Ohio, taken at  | 17   | agoccaemeramantaw.com  |         |
| , |  |  | ALSO PRESENT:  |         |
|   | the offices of Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC, 3412  | 18   |  |         |
| 3 | W. Market Street, Fairlawn, Ohio, commencing at  |  | John Reagan, Esq.  |         |
| 9 | 10:30 a.m., the day and date above set forth.  | 19   | Rob Nestico, Esq.  |         |
| ) |  |  | Robert Redick, Esq.  |         |
|   |  | 20   | Alex Cook, Videographer  |         |
|   |  | 21   |  |         |
|   |  | 22   |  |         |
| 1 |  | 23   |  |         |
| 5 |  | 24<br>25   |  |         |
|   |  |  |  |         |
| L | Page APPEARANCES:  |  | W.T.M.N.T.O.O., T.N.T.Y.   | Page    |
|   |  | 2  |  |         |
| 2 |  | 1 2  | WITNESS INDEX  |         |
| 2 | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:   | 2  | PAGE   |         |
| 3 | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:   |  | PAGE   |         |
|   |  | 2  | PAGE EXAMINATION THERA REID  |         |
| 3 | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  | 2 3  | PAGE   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  | 2  | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION 7  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Pairlawn, Ohio 44333  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | PAGE EXAMINATION THERA REID  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8533  | 2 3  | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION 7 EXHIBIT INDEX  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8553  peter@pattakoslaw.com   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION 7  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  and  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION 7 EXHIBIT INDEX  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  and  Joshua R. Cohen, Esq.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION 7 EXHIBIT INDEX  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  and  Joshua R. Cohen, Esq.  COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants'   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  and  Joshua R. Cohen, Esq.  COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP  3208 Clinton Avenue  Cleveland, Ohio 44113  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes  10   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq.  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  330-836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  and  Joshua R. Cohen, Esq.  COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP  3208 Clinton Avenue  Cleveland, Ohio 44113  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling,   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  E X H I B I T I N D E X  EXHIBIT  PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey 65  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  E X H I B I T I N D E X  EXHIBIT  PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey 65  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey 65 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey 65 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, NR Survey 65 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency   |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey 65 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CC.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108 Defendants' Exhibit 15 and 16, two  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street                       | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  E X H I B I T I N D E X  EXHIBIT  PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114 | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim Defendants' Exhibit 3, KNR Survey Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain Defendants' Exhibit 5, Settlement  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, NR Survey 65 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108 Defendants' Exhibits 15 and 16, two checks 150 Defendants' Exhibit 5, Settlement Memorandum 154  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8533 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohen@crklaw.com  On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114 | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108 Defendants' Exhibits 15 and 16, two checks Defendants' Exhibit 5, Settlement Memorandum 154 Defendants' Exhibit 5A, Receipt,  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, NR Survey 65 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108 Defendants' Exhibits 15 and 16, two checks 150 Defendants' Exhibit 5, Settlement Memorandum 154  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes 38 Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108 Defendants' Exhibits 15 and 16, two checks Defendants' Exhibit 5, Settlement Memorandum 154 Defendants' Exhibit 5A, Receipt,  |         |
|   | On behalf of the Plaintiffs:  Peter Pattakos, Esq. PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC 101 Ghent Road Pairlawn, Ohio 44333 330-836-8553 peter@pattakoslaw.com and Joshua R. Cohen, Esq. COHEN, ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP 3208 Clinton Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-815-9500 jcohem@crklaw.com On behalf of Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick:  Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS 1375 E. 9th Street Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-344-9467 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com and James M. Popson, Esq. SUTTER O'CONNELL CO. 1301 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | EXAMINATION THERA REID BY MR. MANNION  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE  Defendants' Exhibit 1, Defendants' Amended Notice of Deposition 10 Defendants' Exhibit 2, Office Visit Progress Notes Defendants' Exhibit 1A, Important Information sheet 47 Defendants' Exhibit 14, Third Amended Counterclaim 53 Defendants' Exhibit 3A, an E-mail Chain 70 Defendants' Exhibit 3B, an E-mail Chain 72 Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency Fee Agreement 108 Defendants' Exhibits 15 and 16, two checks 150 Defendants' Exhibit 5, Settlement Memorandum 154 Defendants' Exhibit 5A, Receipt, Release and Trust Agreement 155  |         |

MIdeps@uslegalsupport.com Ann Arbor | Detroit | Flint | Jackson U. S. LEGAL SUPPORT Bingham Farms/Southfield | Grand Rapids Phone: 888.644.8080 Lansing | Mt. Clemens | Saginaw | Troy

Pages 97-100

| 01/1     | 9512 | 010  |    |    | rages 97–10                                      |
|----------|------|--|----|----|--|
| 1        |      | Page 97 more than a pronoun.   | 1  |    | Page 9   |
| 2        | A    | _  |    |    | MR. PATTAKOS: Thera, just                        |
|          | A    | Okay. You want a little more than a pronoun.   | 2  |    | answer the question and we can take a break.     |
| 3        |      | That's how I was damaged. I didn't get a lot   | 3  |    |  |
| 4        |      | of money, okay. They recovered \$48,000 and I  | 4  |    | (Requested portion of the record was read.)      |
| 5        |      | got 12.  | 5  |    |  |
| 6        | Q    | I understand you keep saying that.   | 6  | Q  | And the question is, ma'am, what amount over     |
| 7        | A    | Yeah, I'm going to keep saying that.   | 7  |    | 150 or 200 are you saying the defendants         |
| 8        | Q    | Okay.  | 8  |    | damaged you or defrauded you out of, what        |
| 9        | A    | And I understand you're a high-paid lawyer.  | 9  |    | amount?  |
| 10       |      | Smile.   | 10 | A  | I'm saying I was damaged this, but this isn't    |
| 11       | Q    | I guess you probably don't understand that I'm   | 11 |    | what we're here for. We are here for the class   |
| 12       |      | actually here charging zero per hour right now.  | 12 |    | action lawsuit.                                  |
| 13       | A    | I don't care. I don't care.  | 13 | Q  | So in this lawsuit, your only claim is for 150   |
| 14       | Q    | Do you know how much I charge?   | 14 | ~  | or \$200?  |
| 15       | A    | I don't know how much you charge. You ain't my   | 15 | A  | I guess, yes, that's what my claim would be.     |
| 16       |      | lawyer.  | 16 | Q  | Okay.  |
| 17       | 0    | Okay. Then why are you saying things like that   | 17 | ×. | MR. MANNION: Let's take our                      |
| 18       | ~    | to me right now. I'm been very respectful to   | 18 |    | break.   |
| 19       |      | you.   | 19 |    | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.                |
| 20       | 2    | You keep asking me the same old thing.   | 20 |    | The time is 12:41.                               |
| 21       | A    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |    |    | The cline is 12:41.                              |
|          | Q    | Well, ma'am, I'm trying to find out when I get   | 21 |    |  |
| 22       |      | into court   | 22 |    | (Recess was had.)                                |
| 23       | A    | And I keep telling you and telling you and   | 23 |    |  |
| 24       |      | telling you and telling you and how are you  | 24 |    | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on                  |
| 25       |      | going to keep asking me the same thing and I   | 25 |    | the record. The time is 1:47.                    |
|          |      | Page 98  |    |    | Page 100   |
| 1        |      | keep telling you the same thing.   | 1  | Q  | We are back now after the break.                 |
| 2        | Q    | Because I'd like to know how much you're going   | 2  |    | Are there any of the answers that you            |
| 3        |      | to ask the jury for and tell the jury that   | 3  |    | gave earlier that you thought about over the     |
| 4        |      | these defendants damaged you other than  | 4  |    | break and would like to add anything to, revise  |
| 5        | A    | And I'm telling you.   | 5  |    | anything?  |
| 6        | Q    | Well, you're not.  | 6  | A  | No.  |
| 7        | A    | And I'm going to keep telling you and I'm going  | 7  | Q  | Okay. Now, eventually the day after this         |
| 8        |      | to keep telling you the same thing.  | 8  |    | accident, you went to the chiropractor's         |
| 9        | Q    | Okay. What's the amount?   | 9  |    | office, correct?                                 |
| 10       | A    | Right here.  | 10 | A  | Yes.   |
| 11       | Q    | What's "right here"?   | 11 | Q  | They came and picked you up?                     |
| 12       | A    | Oh, lordy be.  | 12 | A  | Yes.   |
| 13       | Q    | Okay. What amount of money   | 13 | 0  | Who was it from there that picked you up? Do     |
| 14       | Ā    | Okay.  | 14 | ×  | you know?  |
| 15       | 0    | over the 150 or 200 are you saying that the  | 15 | A  | Her name was Olivia.                             |
| 16       | ×    | defendants somehow defrauded you or damaged  | 16 |    |  |
|          |      |  |    | Q  | And you thought you needed some chiropractic     |
| 17       | 7    | you?   | 17 | 7  | treatment because of the accident, correct?      |
| 18       | A    | I need a cigarette so bad right now.   | 18 | A  | Correct.   |
| 19       | Q    | Okay.  | 19 | Q  | Okay. And in fact, you went to the               |
| 20       |      | MR. MANNION: It's 12:41.   | 20 |    | chiropractor about how many times to treat for   |
| 21       |      | Let's take our lunch break.  | 21 |    | your injuries?                                   |
|          |      | MR. BEST: There's a  | 22 | A  | I'm unsure.                                      |
|          |      |  | 23 | 0  | TATE masses held seen by my to that abdeciments. |
| 22<br>23 |      | question pending.  | 23 | V  | KNR never told you to go to that chiropractor,   |
|          |      | question pending.  MR. MANNION: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry. Please read back the last question. | 24 | V  | fair?  |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 101-104

| 07/1     | 0512 |  |          |    | rages 101–10  |
|----------|------|--|----------|----|---|
| 1        | Q    | Page 101 And you went to those visits with the   | 1        |    | Page 10 chiropractor were you on the phone with an        |
| 2        |      | chiropractor because you believed you needed   | 2        |    | attorney?   |
| 3        |      | that treatment, true?  | 3        | A  | Within at least an hour, hour and a half maybe            |
| 4        | A    | True.  | 4        | Q  | Okay. Did they treat you first?                           |
| 5        | 0    | You're not complaining about the treatment the   | 5        | A  | No, I didn't get treated that day.                        |
| 6        | ×    | chiropractor gave you, are you?  | 6        |    | 1   |
| 7        | A    | -  |          | Q  | Talked to you first?                                      |
|          |      | No.  | 7        | A  | I got talked to, yes.                                     |
| 8        | Q    | Okay. When you went to the chiropractor the  | 8        | Q  | And how long into the conversation was it                 |
| 9        |      | first day, who did you see?  | 9        |    | before discussion regarding an attorney came              |
| 10       | A    | I believe his name was Mike.   | 10       | _  | up?   |
| 11       | Q    | Mike what? Do you know?  | 11       | A  | I would say probably about a half an hour                 |
| 12       | A    | No, I do not.  | 12       |    | anyway. We were talking and he said something             |
| 13       | Q    | Was it an assistant? Was it a chiropractor?  | 13       |    | about an attorney and we just kept talking a              |
| 14       | A    | He was a chiropractor.   | 14       |    | little bit and then, like I said, probably                |
| 15       | Q    | Tell me about that visit.  | 15       |    | about an hour, hour and a half into it is when            |
| 16       | A    | It was just an initial visit. I went in and  | 16       |    | he picked up the phone and called here.                   |
| 17       |      | signed some papers and we talked about what was  | 17       | Q  | And you already knew that attorneys had already           |
| 18       |      | going to happen, about what my treatment plan  | 18       |    | been calling you, is that fair at that time?              |
| 19       |      | would be, about possible lawyer. And I said  | 19       | A  | Yeah, yes.  |
| 20       |      | "Okay, who would be the lawyer?"   | 20       | Q  | And did you intend even before you went to the            |
| 21       |      | And they said, "Well, our lawyer. Here's   | 21       |    | chiropractor to try to retain an attorney to              |
| 22       |      | the phone." And they called KNR.   | 22       |    | help you with this accident?                              |
| 23       | 0    | Before we get to the lawyer issue, had you ever  | 23       | A  | Yes, I was thinking about it, yes.                        |
| 24       | κ.   | treated with a chiropractor in the past?   | 24       | 0  | So the chiropractor didn't talk you into                  |
| 25       | A    | No.  | 25       | ×  | getting an attorney in the abstract, but                  |
| 23       |      |  | 23       |    | getting an actorney in the abstract, but                  |
|          |      | Page 102   |          |    | Page 104  |
| 1        | Q    | They talked to you about what the treatment  | 1        |    | there's somebody that they recommended and that           |
| 2        |      | plan would be though?  | 2        |    | was KNR?  |
| 3        | A    | Yes.   | 3        | A  | Correct.  |
| 4        | Q    | What did they say?   | 4        | Q  | Regardless of whether you went to the                     |
| 5        | A    | They said that there would be like a massage   | 5        |    | chiropractor that day, your intention was to              |
| 6        |      | table. There would be some kind of like a  | 6        |    | talk with an attorney about taking your case?             |
| 7        |      | shock, like a little electric shock, ice   | 7        | A  | Eventually, yes.  |
| 8        |      | treatment, heat treatment.   | 8        | Q  | Okay. And how many options were you provided?             |
| 9        | Q    | Did it seem to help when you got that?   | 9        | A  | Just the one.   |
| 10       | A    | Somewhat, you know, a little bit it did.   | 10       | Q  | Okay. Did you ask whether they knew anybody               |
| 11       | Q    | I mean, you would have stopped going if it   | 11       |    | else?   |
| 12       |      | didn't help, true?   | 12       | A  | No, I didn't.   |
| 13       | A    | Correct.   | 13       | Q  | Did you ask   |
| 14       | Q    | The reason you continued to go was because the   | 14       | A  | Because   |
| 15       | ~    | chiropractic treatment was effective for you?  | 15       | Q  | Go ahead.   |
| 16       | A    | Yes.   | 16       | A. |   |
| 17       | Q    | The discussion regarding an attorney, how did  | 17       |    | they had just picked up the phone and said "here."        |
| 18       | ×    | that come about?   |          | 0  |   |
| 19       | A    |  | 18       | Q  | Was this in the exam room, was this in a                  |
|          | Δ    | They I don't know really. We were just   | 19       |    | conference room?  |
| 20       |      | sitting there talking and he said something  | 20       | A  | It was in his office.                                     |
| 21       |      | about an attorney and had some papers on the   | 21       | Q  | Okay. Did he talk with the lawyers from KNR               |
|          |      | desk and said something about here, picked up  | 22       | _  | first before he handed you the phone?                     |
| 22       |      |  | 23       | A  | Vog   |
| 22<br>23 |      | the phone, and said "talk to our attorney," and  |          |    | Yes.  |
| 22       |      | the phone, and said "talk to our attorney," and had KNR on the phone. Within how long of first talking to Mike the | 24<br>25 | Q  | Okay. What did you hear from his end of the conversation? |

Pages 105-108

| 0 //     | 03/2   | .018   |          |        | Pages 105–10   |
|----------|--------|--|----------|--------|--|
| 1        | A      | Page 105<br>He said that he had a patient in his office who                      |          | 7      | Page 10  |
| 2        | A      | was in a motorcycle accident who is looking for                                  |          | A      | No. There was nothing like that said.  |
| 3        |        | _  |          | Q      | When was the next time you saw anybody from                                      |
| 4        | Q      | an attorney.   | 3        | 7.     | KNR?   |
| 5        | A      | Okay.  They stated something to him. I'm not sure                                | 5        | A      | I didn't see anybody from KNR for a while. I                                     |
| 6        | -      | what it was. And he said, "Okay, here you go,"                                   | 6        |        | hadn't met anybody. It was all phone calls for                                   |
| 7        |        | and handed me the phone.   | 7        |        | a good while. Honestly, I do not know the  |
| 8        | Q      | Did he stay for that conversation then or did                                    | 8        | Q      | exact date that I met anybody here.  Did Matt talk with you when you were at the |
| 9        | ×      | he walk out?   | 9        | Q      |  |
| 10       | A      | He stayed.   | 10       |        | chiropractor's office that day about sending ar<br>investigator out to see you?  |
| 11       | Q      | Was there anybody else in the room?  | 11       | A      | There was something said about an investigator,                                  |
| 12       | A      | My mother.   | 12       | _      | but nobody came out to see me.   |
| 13       | Q      | Okay. Anybody else?  | 13       | 0      |  |
| 14       | A      | No.  | 14       | Q      | Okay. Do you know when they were supposed to                                     |
| 15       | Q      | How long did you talk to the attorneys?  |          | 7      | see you?   |
| 16       | A      | I would say about 15, 20 minutes.  | 15       | A      | No, I do not.  |
| 17       | 0      | Was this the same chiropractor that ended up                                     | 16       | Q      | What day did the accident occur?   |
| 18       | Q      | treating you throughout?   | 17       | A      | It was April 20th. I'm not sure of the day.                                      |
| 19       | 7      | 0 1 2  | 18       | Q      | Okay. Was an investigator supposed to come out                                   |
| 20       | A      | Yes.   | 19       |        | and you had to cancel for some reason or they                                    |
| 21       | Q<br>A | And you don't know his last name? Oh, my goodness, not right offhand, no.        | 20       | 2      | just didn't show?  |
| 22       |        |  | 21       | A      | They just didn't show that I know of. I don't                                    |
| 23       | Q<br>A | Who did you call him when you went in? Mike, Dr. Mike.                           | 22       |        | remember cancelling anything. I know somebody                                    |
|          |        | •  |          |        | came to my door from KNR when I was at the                                       |
| 24<br>25 | Q      | Okay. Got you.  So tell me about that conversation with                          | 24       |        | chiropractor because there was this welcome                                      |
| 25       |        | so tell me about that conversation with  | 25       |        | thing, you know, with all their KNR goodies in                                   |
| 1        |        | Page 106   | 7        |        | Page 108   |
| 1        | *      | KNR. Do you know who you talked to? I think it was Matt.                         | 1        |        | there and they left it on my porch and that was                                  |
| 2        | A      |  | 2        |        | it. I don't remember I mean, there was   |
| 3<br>4   | Q<br>A | Tell me about that conversation.   | 3        | ^      | nobody else from KNR that came to visit me.                                      |
| 5        | ^      | He asked me what had happened. He asked me                                       | 4        | Q      | Did you save those materials at all?   |
| 6        |        | about the accident, when it was, what had  | 5        | A      | No, I did not.   |
| 7        |        | happened, where I had went to the hospital,                                      | 6        | Q      | But you had already decided to retain KNR  |
| 8        |        | what the x-rays were, if I had x-rayed there at                                  | 7        |        | before you saw those materials?  |
| 9        |        | the chiropractor. And eventually they did give                                   | 8        | A      | Right.   |
| 10       | 0      | me x-rays at the chiropractor.   | 9        | Q      | Okay. Was Matt pleasant to you?  |
|          | 2      | That day or later?   | 10       | A      | Yes, he was.   |
| 11<br>12 | A      | Later on.  | 11       | Q      | Answered all your questions?   |
|          | Q      | Okay. You certainly weren't forced to talk to                                    | 12       | A      | Yes, he did.   |
| 13       | 7.     | KNR on the phone, were you?  | 13       | Q      | Did you go and talk with any other lawyers to                                    |
| 14       | A      | I wasn't forced to, no. It's not like he   | 14       |        | see maybe I should work with somebody else?                                      |
| 15<br>16 |        | twisted my arm and told me, you know, I had to,                                  | 15       | A      | Actually no, I didn't. I just went with them.                                    |
| 16       | 0      | but I mean I did.  | 16       |        |  |
| 17       | Q      | You voluntarily talked to KNR?   | 17       |        | (Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency  |
| 18       | A      | Right.   | 18       |        | Fee Agreement, was marked.)  |
| 19       | Q      | You could have chose to call any of the  | 19       | ^      | Olare The selection to their   |
| 20       | 3      | attorneys who had already contacted you, fair?                                   | 20       | Q      | Okay. I'm going to show you a copy of this.                                      |
| 21       | A      | Yes, I could have.   | 21       |        | This is the Contingency Fee Agreement.   |
| 22       | Q      | You made the choice to talk to KNR?  | 22       |        | Is that your signature at the bottom of  |
| 23       | A      | Yes, I did.  | 23       |        | Defendants' Exhibit 9?   |
| 24       | $\sim$ |  |          |        |  |
| 24<br>25 | Q      | Did the chiropractor's office say they wouldn't treat you if you didn't use KNR? | 24<br>25 | A<br>Q | Yes. It says this was signed on April 22nd, 2016.                                |

Pages 105-108

| 07/0   | )3/2   | 018   |    |   | Pages 105–10  |
|--------|--------|---|----|---|---|
| 1      | A      | Page 105  |    |   | Page 10   |
| 1      | A      | He said that he had a patient in his office who           |    | A | No. There was nothing like that said.   |
| 2      |        | was in a motorcycle accident who is looking for           | 2  | Q | When was the next time you saw anybody from   |
| 3      | ^      | an attorney.  | 3  |   | KNR?  |
| 4      | Q      | Okay,   | 4  | A | I didn't see anybody from KNR for a while. I  |
| 5      | A      | They stated something to him. I'm not sure                | 5  |   | hadn't met anybody. It was all phone calls for  |
| 6      |        | what it was. And he said, "Okay, here you go,"            | 6  |   | a good while. Honestly, I do not know the   |
| 7      | _      | and handed me the phone.                                  | 7  | _ | exact date that I met anybody here.   |
| 8      | Q      | Did he stay for that conversation then or did             | 8  | Q | Did Matt talk with you when you were at the   |
| 9      |        | he walk out?  | 9  |   | chiropractor's office that day about sending an   |
| 10     | A      | He stayed.  | 10 |   | investigator out to see you?  |
| 11     | Q      | Was there anybody else in the room?                       | 11 | A | There was something said about an investigator  |
| 12     | A      | My mother.  | 12 | 0 | but nobody came out to see me.  |
| 13     | Q      | Okay. Anybody else?                                       | 13 | Q | Okay. Do you know when they were supposed to  |
| 14     | A      | No.   | 14 |   | see you?  |
| L5     | Q      | How long did you talk to the attorneys?                   | 15 | A | No, I do not.   |
| .6     | A      | I would say about 15, 20 minutes.                         | 16 | Q | What day did the accident occur?  |
| L7     | Q      | Was this the same chiropractor that ended up              | 17 | A | It was April 20th. I'm not sure of the day.   |
| .8     |        | treating you throughout?                                  | 18 | Q | Okay. Was an investigator supposed to come out  |
| .9     | A      | Yes.  | 19 |   | and you had to cancel for some reason or they   |
| 20     | Q      | And you don't know his last name?                         | 20 | _ | just didn't show?   |
| 21     | A      | Oh, my goodness, not right offhand, no.                   | 21 | A | They just didn't show that I know of. I don't   |
| 2      | Q      | Who did you call him when you went in?                    | 22 |   | remember cancelling anything. I know somebody   |
| 3      | A      | Mike, Dr. Mike.   | 23 |   | came to my door from KNR when I was at the  |
| 4      | Q      | Okay. Got you.  | 24 |   | chiropractor because there was this welcome   |
| 5      |        | So tell me about that conversation with                   | 25 |   | thing, you know, with all their KNR goodies in  |
| 1      |        | Page 106  | ,  |   | Page 108  |
|        | A      | KNR. Do you know who you talked to?  I think it was Matt. | 1  |   | there and they left it on my porch and that was   |
| 2      |        |   | 2  |   | it. I don't remember I mean, there was  |
| 3      | Q<br>A | Tell me about that conversation.                          | 3  | _ | nobody else from KNR that came to visit me.   |
| 4<br>5 | A      | He asked me what had happened. He asked me                | 4  | Q | Did you save those materials at all?  |
|        |        | about the accident, when it was, what had                 | 5  | A | No, I did not.  |
| 6<br>7 |        | happened, where I had went to the hospital,               | 6  | Q | But you had already decided to retain KNR   |
|        |        | what the x-rays were, if I had x-rayed there at           | 7  |   | before you saw those materials?   |
| 8<br>9 |        | the chiropractor. And eventually they did give            | 8  | A | Right.  |
| 0      | ^      | me x-rays at the chiropractor.                            | 9  | Q | Okay. Was Matt pleasant to you?   |
|        | Q      | That day or later?  | 10 | A | Yes, he was.  |
| 1      | A      | Later on.   | 11 | Q | Answered all your questions?  |
| 2      | Q      | Okay. You certainly weren't forced to talk to             | 12 | A | Yes, he did.  |
| 3      |        | KNR on the phone, were you?                               | 13 | Q | Did you go and talk with any other lawyers to   |
| 4<br>5 | A      | I wasn't forced to, no. It's not like he                  | 14 |   | see maybe I should work with somebody else?   |
|        |        | twisted my arm and told me, you know, I had to,           | 15 | A | Actually no, I didn't. I just went with them.   |
| 6      | ^      | but I mean I did.   | 16 |   |   |
| 7      | Q      | You voluntarily talked to KNR?                            | 17 |   | (Defendants' Exhibit 9, Contingency   |
| B<br>^ | A      | Right.  | 18 |   | Fee Agreement, was marked.)   |
| )      | Q      | You could have chose to call any of the                   | 19 | ^ | Olean The release to the control of |
| )      | 7      | attorneys who had already contacted you, fair?            | 20 | Q | Okay. I'm going to show you a copy of this.   |
| L      | A      | Yes, I could have.  | 21 |   | This is the Contingency Fee Agreement.  |
| 2      | Q      | You made the choice to talk to KNR?                       | 22 |   | Is that your signature at the bottom of   |
| 3      | A      | Yes, I did.   | 23 |   | Defendants' Exhibit 9?  |
| 4      | Q      | Did the chiropractor's office say they wouldn't           | 24 | A | Yes.  |
| 5      |        | treat you if you didn't use KNR?                          | 25 | Q | It says this was signed on April 22nd, 2016.  |

Pages 121-124

| 07/                        | 03/2   | 018   |                |        | Pages 121–12  |
|----------------------------|--------|---|----------------|--------|---|
| 1                          | A      | Page 121  | 10.            |        | Page 12   |
| 2                          | 0      |   | 1              |        | that's where you saw this link to the Chandra                               |
|                            | Q      | In order to find out what the chiropractor's  | 2              |        | firm?   |
| 3                          |        | office said to those clients, we'd have to ask  | 3              | A      | Yes.  |
| 4                          | _      | those clients?  | 4              | Q      | And it just had his law firm's name and no                                  |
| 5                          | A      | Yes.  | 5              |        | other information?  |
| 6                          | Q      | When you first saw the ad or the link or  | 6              | A      | No, until I clicked on the link.  |
| 7                          |        | whatever it was on Facebook, do you recall what   | 7              | Q      | My statement was correct, there was no other                                |
| 8                          |        | it said?  | 8              |        | information other than this law firm's name                                 |
| 9                          | A      | The link said "Chandra Law Firm" and I clicked  | 9              |        | until you clicked on the link?  |
| 10                         |        | on it and it had their page header on top.  | 10             | A      | Correct.  |
| 11                         | Q      | Was there something that prompted you to click  | 11             | Q      | Okay. And when you clicked on the link, what                                |
| 12                         |        | on it or  | 12             |        | did you see?  |
| 13                         | A      | No. It was just the link on there and I   | 13             | A      | I saw the story the report. I'm sorry.                                      |
| 14                         |        | just it was something off on that page, so I  | 14             |        | It's advertised, the paper, the story in the                                |
| 15                         |        | just clicked on it to see what it was about.  | 15             |        | paper about what was going on.  |
| 16                         | Q      | Well, did it say something about KNR on it  | 16             | 0      | What do you recall?   |
| 17                         |        | before you clicked on it?   | 17             | A      | It had the header for the Chandra Law Firm and                              |
| 18                         | A      | No. It was just linked with the KNR, so that's  | 18             |        | it just went on stating that they were suing                                |
| 19                         |        | why I clicked on it.  | 19             |        | KNR and what was they were suing KNR for and                                |
| 20                         | Q      | Okay. Explain to me. I'm not a Facebook guy   | 20             |        | what was going on with the kick-backs and all                               |
| 21                         | ~      | that much so  | 21             |        | that with the chiropractors and whatnot.                                    |
| 22                         | A      | Okay. When I went on to Facebook, okay, I went  | 22             | 0      | Prior to the time you clicked on that site, had                             |
| 23                         |        | on to my Facebook page and when I got on there,   | 23             | Q      |   |
| 24                         |        | it showed where I had went on to KNR's website  |                |        | you ever told anybody that KNR received a kick-back?                        |
| 25                         |        |   | 24             |        |   |
| 23                         |        | and put that review.  | 25             | A      | Never. I had never heard anything about it.                                 |
|                            |        | Page 122  |                | _      | Page 12-  |
| 1                          |        | Well, when I went on there and was going  | 1              | Q      | Okay. You didn't have any complaints about the                              |
| 2                          |        | to go on and delete it, I went on to delete it,   | 2              |        | \$150 payment for Dr. Floros' report until you                              |
| 3                          |        | and when I did, I went on further and there was   | 3              |        | clicked on that, fair?  |
| 4                          |        | Chandra's law firm and there was a link and it  | 4              | A      | Fair.   |
| 5                          |        | was on the newspaper, so I went in and read it.   | 5              | Q      | Okay. And what do you recall reading about the                              |
| 6                          | Q      | Was there a headline before you clicked on it?  | 6              |        | case being about?   |
| 7                          | A      | No. It was just little it was like a little   | 7              | A      | That there were chiropractors and the lawyers.                              |
| 8                          |        | blue lettering.   | 8              |        | There was you do something for me, like I was                               |
| 9                          | Q      | Why did you think it was somehow linked with  | 9              |        | saying earlier, they wanted somebody to be                                  |
| 10                         |        | KNR?  | 10             |        | friendly with them so they could have                                       |
| 11                         | A      | Because it was on the KNR page. I don't know  | 11             |        | partnerships I guess. I don't know how to                                   |
| 12                         |        | how, but that's where I found it.   | 12             |        | explain it.   |
| 13                         | Q      | Okav. So you went on a KNR page?  | 13             | Q      | At the time, did you think there was something                              |
| 14                         | A      | Uh-huh.   | 14             |        | wrong with that?  |
| 15                         | Q      | Yes?  | 15             | A      | When I found out about it, yes.   |
| 16                         | A      | Yes.  | 16             | 0      | Okay. Now, you knew that the chiropractor knew                              |
| <br>17                     | Q      | Okay. What was on that page?  | 17             | ~      | KNR before that, true?  |
| 18                         | Σ<br>Α | My review.  | 18             | A      | True.   |
| 19                         | 0      | Okay. And you're able to delete something from  | 19             | 0      | And so you knew they had some type of whether                               |
| 20                         | ×      | KNR's page?   | 20             | V      |   |
| - 0                        | a      | It was through my Facebook.   |                |        | it was friendship or acquaintance or some type                              |
| 21                         | A      |   | 21             |        | of relationship?  |
|                            | n      | So it was your post to KNR's page?  | 22             | A      | True.   |
| 22                         | Q      | Vac through on Backack  |                |        |   |
| 22<br>23                   | A      | Yes, through my Facebook.   | 23             | Q      | But you thought you would go with KNR even                                  |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25 | _      | Yes, through my Facebook.  Okay. And when you went through your Facebook account to the post you had on KNR's page, | 23<br>24<br>25 | Q<br>A | But you thought you would go with KNR even knowing about that, fair?  Fair. |

Pages 125-128

| U //\    | J3/Z   | 2018   |          |    | Pages 125–1  |
|----------|--------|--|----------|----|--|
| ,        |        | Page 125   |          |    | Page 1   |
| 1        | Q      | Okay. Did you ask either the chiropractor or       | 1        |    | I was told by the chiropractor "here is our                          |
| 2        | _      | KNR anything about it?                             | 2        |    | attorney." Not "an" attorney, "our" attorney                         |
| 3        | A      | No.  | 3        |    | KNR. All right?  |
| 4        | Q      | Okay. And when you say there was a you give me     | 4        | Q  | Yep.   |
| 5        |        | this and I'll give you that, what are you          | 5        | A  | Now, I didn't catch that at first.                                   |
| 6        |        | talking about? What did they give each other?      | 6        | Q  | You heard it, right?   |
| 7        | A      | Well, I would say KNR gave the chiropractor        | 7        | A  | All right. I heard it.   |
| 8        |        | patients and the chiropractor would give KNR       | 8        | Q  | Okay.  |
| 9        |        | money or vice versa.                               | 9        | A  | But I didn't catch on to that at first.                              |
| 10       | Q      | Okay. And KNR certainly didn't give you as a       | 10       | Q  | Okay. When did it catch on?  |
| 11       |        | patient to the chiropractor, true?                 | 11       | A  | It took a minute.  |
| 12       | A      | True.  | 12       | Q  | Well, I mean, are we talking a minute being a                        |
| 13       | Q      | Can you identify for me any patients that KNR      | 13       |    | day or a month?  |
| 14       |        | gave to the chiropractor?                          | 14       | A  | No. It took a while.   |
| 15       | A      | I wouldn't know.                                   | 15       | 0  | What's that mean?  |
| 16       | Q      | And what is it you say that the chiropractor       | 16       | A  | A while.   |
| 17       | ~      | did for KNR?                                       | 17       | 0  | A month?   |
| 18       | A      | I had it backwards. Anyway.                        | 18       | A  | Okay. I may be stupid, okay, compared to                             |
| 19       | Q      | Had what backwards?                                | 19       | 0  | Hey, hey, hey, hey.  |
| 20       | A      | No.  | 20       | A  | No, please listen to me. Please listen to me.                        |
| 21       | Q      | No please.   | 21       |    | MR. PATTAKOS: Thera, it's  |
| 22       | A      | No.  | 22       |    | •  |
| 23       |        |  |          | 78 | okay.  |
|          | Q      | Well, if you had said something that you said      | 23       | A  | I may be stupid  |
| 24       |        | backwards, please let us know now.                 | 24       | Q  | I'm just asking how long.  |
| 25       | A      | Anyway   | 25       | A  | compared to some of these lawyers, okay.                             |
| _        |        | Page 126   |          |    | Page 12  |
| 1        |        | MR. PATTAKOS: We can take a                        | 1        | _  | Please listen.   |
| 2        |        | break soon, Thera.                                 | 2        | Q  | Nobody is saying that  |
| 3        |        | THE WITNESS: I know, I know.                       | 3        | A  | No.  |
| 4        |        | I'm just I'm trying.                               | 4        | Q  | and nobody is trying to trick you, okay.                             |
| 5        |        | MR. PATTAKOS: It's okay if                         | 5        |    | I'm just asking  |
| 6        |        | you had it backwards.                              | 6        | A  | Would you listen.  |
| 7        |        | THE WITNESS: I'm trying.                           | 7        | Q  | how long it took you to catch that. You                              |
| 8        |        | I'm trying.  | 8        |    | said it took a minute and I don't know what yo                       |
| 9        | A      | Okay.  | 9        |    | mean by that.  |
| .0       | Q      | What did you have backwards?                       | 10       | A  | I said a while.  |
| .1       | A      | The way they do things.                            | 11       | Q  | Okay.  |
| 12       | Q      | Explain what you mean.                             | 12       | A  | I don't know, okay? That's why I'm saying. I                         |
| .3       | A      | The lawyer and the chiropractors, the way they     | 13       |    | may be dumber than some of you all, but would                        |
| L4       |        | do things.   | 14       |    | you just please listen to me.  |
| .5       | Q      | Okay. Explain. I'm not trying to trick you.        | 15       | Q  | That has nothing to do with intelligence. I'm                        |
| .6       |        | I don't want later to hear "no, I told you I       | 16       |    | just asking you when. Was it before you                              |
| .7       |        | had it backwards" and me not know what it was.     | 17       |    | clicked on this link or after? And if you                            |
| 8        | A      | It's just confusing.                               | 18       |    | can't remember because of your memory, that's                        |
| 9        | Q      | What is your understanding of the relationship     | 19       |    | fine.  |
| 0        | ~      | between the chiropractor's office, Akron           | 20       | A  | It's always because of the memory. Anyway, it                        |
| 1        |        | Square, and KNR?                                   | 21       |    | was before the link.   |
| 2        | A      | My understanding of their relationship is          | 22       | Q  | _  |
| 3        | **     | _  |          |    | Okay.  |
|          |        | can I explain something?                           | 23       | A  | Now wow.   |
| 'A       | $\cap$ |  |          |    |  |
| 24<br>25 | Q<br>A | Sure. Okay. Thank you. When I went in there, okay, | 24<br>25 | Q  | Can you estimate how long before you clicked on<br>the link that you |

Pages 129-132

| 07/0                             | J3/Z   | 018   |                                  |               | Pages 129–133   |
|----------------------------------|--------|---|----------------------------------|---------------|---|
| -                                | A      | Page 129  |                                  |               | Page 13:  |
| 1                                |        | No.   | 1                                |               | together. I thought I just thought they   |
| 2                                | Q      | Okay.   | 2                                |               | worked together. I don't know. I just thought   |
| 3                                | A      | No.   | 3                                | ^             | Akron Square was always referring to KNR.   |
| 4                                | Q      | Can you estimate how long after you signed the  | 4                                | Q             | Okay. Why did you think that?   |
| 5                                |        | Contingency Fee Agreement? Was it before or   | 5                                | A             | I don't know.   |
| 6                                | _      | after that?   | 6                                | Q             | Do you know if they referred, in your words, to   |
| 7                                | A      | No, I can't.  | 7                                |               | any other lawyers?  |
| 8                                | Q      | Did you ask Matt is KNR the lawyer for Akron  | 8                                | A             | No, I don't.  |
| 9                                |        | Square?   | 9                                | Q             | Okay. And what do you believe we talked   |
| 10                               | A      | No, I didn't.   | 10                               |               | about the give and take and that. What do you   |
| 11                               | Q      | But at some point while you were still  | 11                               |               | believe that KNR was doing for the  |
| 12                               |        | represented by KNR and were still receiving   | 12                               |               | chiropractor?   |
| 13                               |        | treatment from Akron Square, you believed that  | 13                               | A             | Giving them patients.   |
| 14                               |        | the relationship was so close between those two   | 14                               | Q             | Okay. Again, but we talked about it, they   |
| 15                               |        | that KNR actually represented Akron Square,   | 15                               |               | didn't give them you, true?   |
| 16                               |        | true?   | 16                               | A             | No.   |
| 17                               | A      | I didn't believe they represented, no.  | 17                               | Q             | Was there anything else as you sit here now   |
| 18                               | Q      | Okay. What did you take "our lawyer" to mean?   | 18                               |               | that you think KNR is giving to the   |
| 19                               | A      | Okay, I believed they were pretty doggone   | 19                               |               | chiropractor?   |
| 20                               |        | close.  | 20                               | A             | Money.  |
| 21                               | Q      | Okay.   | 21                               | Q             | Okay. What money?   |
| 22                               | A      | Okay? I didn't believe they represented, but I  | 22                               | A             | How do I know?  |
| 23                               |        | believed that yeah, there was a closeness there   | 23                               | Q             | Well, I mean you sued my clients, so what are   |
| 24                               |        | that may be okay. They did somehow work   | 24                               |               | you saying that they did wrong?   |
| 25                               |        | together.   | 25                               | A             | It was money that come out of my check. Where   |
|                                  |        | Dec. 120  |                                  |               | D. 120  |
| 1                                | 0      | Page 130 And you wanted a recommendation for a lawyer   | 1                                |               | Page 132 did the \$150 go or the hundred dollars go that  |
| 2                                | *      | you trusted, fair?  | 2                                |               | went to the chiropractor's there?   |
| 3                                | A      | Fair.   | 3                                | 0             | Okay. So it's the 150 that they paid to the   |
| 4                                | 0      | Okay. And in your mind during the   | 4                                | 2             | chiropractor that you're referring to KNR   |
| 5                                | ×      | representation, you thought KNR and the   | 5                                |               | giving money to the chiropractor, is that the   |
| 6                                |        | chiropractic clinic, Akron Square, had some   | 6                                |               | money that you're referring to?   |
| 7                                |        | type of close relationship?   | 7                                | 7.            | Uh-huh.   |
|                                  | 2      | Yes.  |                                  | A             |   |
| 8                                | A      |   | 8                                | Q             | Yes?  |
| 9                                | Q      | And you thought it was some type of   | 9                                | A             | Yes.  |
| 10                               |        | give-and-take relationship even at that time?   | 10                               | Q             | Okay. You're not referring to money for the   |
| 11                               | A      | Well, I thought I could trust them both.  | 11                               |               | treatment because you know you had to pay for   |
| 12                               | Q      | My question was, though, you thought it was   | 12                               | _             | your treatment, true?   |
| 13                               |        | some type of give-and-take relationship at that   | 13                               | A             | True.   |
| 14                               |        | time?   | 14                               | Q             | Okay. Did you know anything about how lawsuits  |
| 15                               | A      | I wasn't sure.  | 15                               |               | work before you worked with KNR?  |
|                                  | -      |   | 16                               | A             | No.   |
| 16                               | Q      | Okay. Well, what do you mean by it was a close  |                                  | _             |   |
| 16<br>17                         | Q      | relationship?   | 17                               | Q             | Do you think that insurance companies just take   |
| 17<br>18                         | Q<br>A | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't  | 17<br>18                         | Q             | Do you think that insurance companies just take the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  |
| 17<br>18<br>19                   |        | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't sure if it was give and take, but I thought  | 17<br>18<br>19                   | Q<br><b>A</b> | the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  |
| 17<br>18                         |        | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't sure if it was give and take, but I thought they worked together.  | 17<br>18<br>19<br>20             |               | the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  No.  What do you think has to be given to an  |
| 17<br>18<br>19                   |        | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't sure if it was give and take, but I thought  | 17<br>18<br>19                   | A             | the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  No.  What do you think has to be given to an insurance company in order for them to come to   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | A      | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't sure if it was give and take, but I thought they worked together.  | 17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | A             | the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  No.  What do you think has to be given to an insurance company in order for them to come to a decision whether or not they pay on behalf of |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | A      | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't sure if it was give and take, but I thought they worked together. Okay. And what did you take "work together" to       | 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | A             | the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  No.  What do you think has to be given to an insurance company in order for them to come to   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | A<br>Q | relationship? Well, I thought they worked together. I wasn't sure if it was give and take, but I thought they worked together. Okay. And what did you take "work together" to mean? | 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | A             | the lawyer's word for what the injuries are?  No.  What do you think has to be given to an insurance company in order for them to come to a decision whether or not they pay on behalf of |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 169-172

| 1<br>2   |            | .018  |  |                       | Pages 169–17   |
|--|------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
|  |            | Page 169  |  |                       | Page 17  |
| 2  |            | Number 2 now. It says in there, the question  | 1  | Q                     | That you reviewed?   |
|  |            | to you was "Admit that the narrative report   | 2  | A                     | Yes.   |
| 3  |            | that Dr. Floros drafted contains additional   | 3  | Q                     | Okay.  |
| 4  |            | medical information and analysis that is not  | 4  | A                     | It wasn't Akron Square.  |
| 5  |            | contained in plaintiff's medical records from   | 5  | Q                     | So you've never read Akron Square's records?   |
| 6  |            | Akron Square Chiropractic."   | 6  | A                     | No.  |
| 7  |            | Did I read that correctly?  | 7  | Q                     | Or Dr. Floros' report?   |
| 8  | A          | I'm not hold on a second. I'm sorry. My   | 8  | A                     | No. Correct.   |
| 9  |            | eyes are messed up.   | 9  | Q                     | Now, if you go to Dr. Floros' report,  |
| 10   | Q          | Okay. I'm sure if I misread it, your attorney   | 10   |                       | Defendants' Exhibit 6 underneath there.  |
| 11   |            | would say something, but  | 11   | A                     | This one here?   |
| 12   | A          | No, no, it's not your it's not you. I have  | 12   | Q                     | Yes. Do you see at the bottom of there where   |
| 13   |            | eye problems  | 13   |                       | if says KNR02191?  |
| 14   | Q          | Okay.   | 14   | A                     | Yes.   |
| 15   | A          | and they're just going crazy right now.   | 15   | Q                     | Now, go back to those answers.   |
| 16   | Q          | If you need extra time to read it, that's okay.   | 16   |                       | Do you see there where it says   |
| 17   | A          | No.   | 17   | A                     | Yes.   |
| 18   |            | Okay.   | 18   | Q                     | "to the extent the document produced by  |
| 19   | Q          | Okay. Now, the request to you was "Admit that   | 19   |                       | Defendants Bates stamp KNR02191," do you see   |
| 20   |            | the narrative report that Dr. Floros drafted  | 20   |                       | that?  |
| 21   |            | contains additional medical information and   | 21   | A                     | Yes.   |
| 22   |            | analysis that is not contained in plaintiff's   | 22   | Q                     | That's the same number as what Defendants'   |
| 23   |            | medical records from Akron Square   | 23   |                       | Exhibit 6 is, correct?   |
| 24   |            | Chiropractic."  | 24   | A                     | Yes.   |
| 25   |            | Did I read that correctly?  | 25   | Q                     | And what you've answered here is if that's a   |
|  |            | Page 170  |  |                       | Page 172   |
| 1  | A          | Yes.  | 1  |                       | true and accurate copy of Dr. Floros' report,  |
| 2  | Q          | Okay. And did you actually review the medical   | 2  |                       | then it does contain additional medical  |
| 3  |            | records from Akron Square Chiropractic and  | 3  |                       | information not contained in the medical   |
| 4  |            | compare them to Dr. Floros' draft report,   | 4  |                       | records, correct?  |
| 5  |            | narrative report at any time?   | 5  | A                     | Correct.   |
| 6  | A          | Did I review mine?  | 6  | Q                     | Okay. How did you answer that if you never   |
| 7  | Q          | Did you review the narrative report that  | 7  |                       | compared the medical records to the report?  |
| 8  |            | Dr. Floros drafted and compare it to your   | 8  | A                     | I've not seen this.  |
| 9  |            | medical records at Akron Square Chiropractic to   | 9  | Q                     | And when you say "this," are you talking about   |
| 10   |            | see if the report contained information in  | 10   |                       | the report or the answers to the requests for  |
|  |            | addition to what was in the medical records?  | 11   |                       | admission or both?   |
| 11   | A          | I reviewed what was in my medical records and I   | 12   | A                     | I signed papers for requests for admission.  |
|  |            |   |  |                       |  |
| 12   |            | didn't get I didn't review what he had  | 13   | Q                     | Okay. So you saw this answer before?   |
| 12<br>13   |            | didn't get I didn't review what he had wrote, no.   | 13<br>14   | Q<br>A                | Okay. So you saw this answer before?  I don't  |
| 12<br>13<br>14   | Q          | -   |  | -                     | I don't  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Q          | wrote, no. Okay. How many pages of medical records from   | 14   | A                     | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | Q          | wrote, no.  | 14<br>15   | A                     | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                                     | Q<br>A     | wrote, no.  Okay. How many pages of medical records from  Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you   | 14<br>15<br>16                                     | A<br>Q                | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay.  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                               | _          | wrote, no.  Okay. How many pages of medical records from Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you reviewed? Do you recall?  No, I do not recall.   | 14<br>15<br>16<br>17                               | A<br>Q<br>A           | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay in that answer contain excuse me, whether   |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                         | A          | wrote, no.  Okay. How many pages of medical records from  Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you reviewed? Do you recall?  No, I do not recall.  Now, earlier you had told me that you had never   | 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | A<br>Q<br>A           | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay in that answer contain excuse me, whether this report contains any additional information   |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                         | A          | wrote, no. Okay. How many pages of medical records from Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you reviewed? Do you recall? No, I do not recall. Now, earlier you had told me that you had never seen Defendants' Exhibit 6, if you go to that,  | 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | A<br>Q<br>A           | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay in that answer contain excuse me, whether this report contains any additional information that wasn't contained in those records? Do you                                |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | A          | wrote, no.  Okay. How many pages of medical records from Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you reviewed? Do you recall?  No, I do not recall.  Now, earlier you had told me that you had never seen Defendants' Exhibit 6, if you go to that, please.   | 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | <b>A</b> Q <b>A</b> Q | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay in that answer contain excuse me, whether this report contains any additional information that wasn't contained in those records? Do you know as you sit here?          |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | <b>A</b> Q | wrote, no.  Okay. How many pages of medical records from Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you reviewed? Do you recall?  No, I do not recall.  Now, earlier you had told me that you had never seen Defendants' Exhibit 6, if you go to that, please.  No, no, no, no, no, no, no, no, no, scratch. I | 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | <b>A</b> Q A Q        | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay in that answer contain excuse me, whether this report contains any additional information that wasn't contained in those records? Do you know as you sit here? I don't. |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | <b>A</b> Q | wrote, no.  Okay. How many pages of medical records from Akron Square Chiropractic were there that you reviewed? Do you recall?  No, I do not recall.  Now, earlier you had told me that you had never seen Defendants' Exhibit 6, if you go to that, please.   | 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | <b>A</b> Q <b>A</b> Q | I don't Do you know whether the records identified here as Bates Stamp 1683 to 2199 Okay in that answer contain excuse me, whether this report contains any additional information that wasn't contained in those records? Do you know as you sit here?          |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 173-176

| 07/ | 03/2 | 2018  |     |    | Pages 173–170                                     |
|-----|------|---|-----|----|---|
| 1   | A    | Page 173  |     |    | Page 175  |
| 2   | 0    | Because you've never even done that as we sit   | 1 2 |    | Exhibit 6, can you read that last sentence to us. |
| 3   | Ž    | here today, fair?                               | 3   | A  | Plaintiff objects to this                         |
| 4   | A    | Fair.   | 4   | Q  | I'm sorry. Exhibit 6. The one you're holding.     |
| 5   | Q    | Now, at the end of that answer, it states,      | 5   | A  | Oh.   |
| 6   | ×    | "Plaintiff further states," and by "plaintiff"  | 6   | Q  | Last sentence.                                    |
| 7   |      | that means you, right?                          | 7   | A  | "In my opinion, based upon reasonable             |
| 8   | A    | Yeah.   | 8   |    | chiropractic probability, the injuries Thera      |
| 9   | 0    | "Plaintiff further states that this additional  | 9   |    | Reid sustained were due to the motor vehicle      |
| 10  | ×    | information and analysis is largely, if not     | 10  |    | accident and the treatments rendered thus far     |
| 11  |      | entirely, cut and pasted boilerplate and denies | 11  |    | have been a necessity as a result."               |
| 12  |      | that this report was necessary or justified the | 12  | Q  | Do you know whether that was cut and pasted       |
| 13  |      | \$150 that she was charged for it."             | 13  | ×  | from anywhere else?                               |
| 14  |      | Did I read that correctly?                      | 14  | A  | No.   |
| 15  | A    | Yes.  | 15  | Q  | Okay. If you look up a little bit before that,    |
| 16  | 0    | Is that what you're claiming?                   | 16  | ×  | it talks about a study being published in the     |
| 17  | Ā    | Yeah.   | 17  |    | Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery.                |
| 18  | 0    | Was that a yes?                                 | 18  |    | Do you see that?                                  |
| 19  | A    | Yes.  | 19  | A  | Yes.  |
| 20  | 0    | Okay. Now, how is it that you're claiming that  | 20  | Q  | Do you know if that was cut and pasted from       |
| 21  | ~    | if you never compared the two?                  | 21  | *. | your medical records?                             |
| 22  | A    | Well, I know well, I know they were getting     | 22  | A  | Not from my records.                              |
| 23  |      | records.  | 23  | 0  | Okay. And a little further above that, there's    |
| 24  | 0    | Okay. But what this says is that the report     | 24  | ж. | a paragraph that starts "The time needed for      |
| 25  | ~    | from Dr. Floros, which is the exhibit here in   | 25  |    | injured soft tissue to heal."                     |
|     |      |   |     |    |   |
| 1   |      | Page 174 front of you.                          | 1   |    | Page 176 Do you see that?                         |
| 2   | A    | Okay.   | 2   | A  | Uh-huh, yes.                                      |
| 3   | Q    | "Is largely, if not entirely, cut and pasted    | 3   | Q  | And do you know whether that was cut and pasted   |
| 4   |      | boilerplate."                                   | 4   |    | from your medical records?                        |
| 5   | A    | Okay. What is that?                             | 5   | A  | Not from my records.                              |
| 6   | Q    | Okay. That's what I'm asking you. What did      | 6   | Q  | Okay. Well, do you think it was cut and pasted    |
| 7   |      | you mean by "boilerplate"?                      | 7   |    | from anywhere, or you don't know?                 |
| 8   | A    | I don't know.                                   | 8   | A  | I don't know. It could have been.                 |
| 9   | Q    | Okay. Those weren't words that you authorized,  | 9   | Q  | And further down on that paragraph, it talks      |
| 10  |      | were they?                                      | 10  |    | about the "Quebec Task Force."                    |
| 11  | A    | No.   | 11  |    | Do you see that?                                  |
| 12  | Q    | And can you look at Defendants' Exhibit 6 now.  | 12  | A  | Yeah.   |
| 13  |      | Do you know what boilerplate means?             | 13  | Q  | Okay. Did you ever talk to Dr. Floros about       |
| 14  | A    | No.   | 14  |    | the Quebec Task Force?                            |
| 15  | Q    | Okay. So there is nothing in Exhibit 6 that     | 15  | A  | I've never seen this. How do I know about the     |
| 16  |      | you can identify as boilerplate, is there?      | 16  |    | Quebec Task Force?                                |
| 17  | A    | I don't even know what the heck what that       | 17  | Q  | I'm just saying did he talk to you about it?      |
| 18  |      | is.   | 18  | A  | No.   |
| 19  | Q    | Now, you know what cut and paste means, don't   | 19  | Q  | And this is information that Matt told you,       |
| 20  |      | you?  | 20  |    | your attorney told you they were providing to     |
| 21  | A    | Yes.  | 21  |    | Allstate to help get a settlement for you,        |
| 22  | Q    | And what does that mean to you?                 | 22  |    | correct?  |
| 23  | A    | It's taken out of one sentence, cut out of one  | 23  | A  | I've never heard of the Quebec Task Force.        |
| 24  |      | place and pasted into something else.           | 24  | Q  | Well, that's fine. I'm talking about this         |
| 25  | Q    | Okay. If you look at the very last sentence of  | 25  |    | report and the opinions that Dr. Floros put in    |
|     |      |   |     |    |   |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 177-180

| 07/0     | 03/2   | 018  |    |   | Pages 177–18   |
|----------|--------|--|----|---|--|
| 1        |        | Page 177   |    |   | Page 17  |
| 1        |        | here. You understood that Dr. Floros' opinions     | 1  |   | the motor vehicle accident."   |
| 2        |        | were one of the things that were helping you       | 2  |   | Is that true?  |
| 3        | 78     | get a settlement from Allstate, correct?           | 3  | A | Yes.   |
| 4        | A      | Yes.   | 4  | Q | Okay. "She had sleepless nights following the  |
| 5        | Q      | And do you know how long it took Dr. Floros to     | 5  |   | motor vehicle accident."   |
| 6        |        | prepare this?                                      | 6  | _ | Is that true?  |
| 7        | A      | He didn't see me very often, but no.               | 7  | A | Still do.  |
| 8        | Q      | Okay. That wasn't the question. The question       | 8  | Q | "She described the pain as being constant,   |
| 9        | 3      | was  | 9  |   | dull, burning and sharp."  |
| 10       | A      | Well, I answered it.                               | 10 |   | Are those words you used?  |
| 11       | Q      | do you know how long it took him                   | 11 | A | Yes.   |
| 12       | A      | No.  | 12 | Q | "Ranges of motion were restricted throughout   |
| 13       | Q      | to prepare this?                                   | 13 |   | her spine as a result of pain, muscle spasms,  |
| 14       |        | Do you know how long he spent reviewing            | 14 |   | intersegmental swelling and joint dysfunction.   |
| 15       | _      | any research before he wrote this?                 | 15 | _ | Did I read that correctly?   |
| 16       | A      | No.  | 16 | A | Yes.   |
| 17       | Q      | Do you know how long he spent reviewing your       | 17 | Q | "She was forced to modify her daily activities   |
| 18       | _      | medical records before this?                       | 18 |   | to accommodate her high pain levels."  |
| 19       | A      | No.  | 19 |   | Was that true?   |
| 20       | Q      | If we go up at the top, you'd agree that that's    | 20 | A | Still is.  |
| 21       | _      | the correct patient name?                          | 21 | Q | Okay. And there were several diagnoses that he   |
| 22       | A      | Yes.   | 22 | _ | put on there then, correct?  |
| 23       | Q      | It's the correct date of the injury?               | 23 | A | Yes.   |
| 24       | A      | Yes.   | 24 | Q | And it went on and talked about the treatment  |
| 25       | Q      | The correct medical provider?                      | 25 |   | for you which included light spinal  |
|          |        | Page 178   |    |   | Page 18  |
| 1        | A      | Yes.   | 1  |   | manipulation, mechanical traction and a number   |
| 2        | Q      | Okay. And the patient's description of pain,       | 2  | _ | of other things, true?   |
| 3        |        | "Thera Reid presented to Akron Square              | 3  | A | Yes.   |
| 4        |        | Chiropractic following a motor vehicle accident    | 4  | Q | And those are things that you received, right?   |
| 5        |        | with symptoms of moderate to severe spinal soft    | 5  | A | Yes.   |
| 6        |        | tissue injury."                                    | 6  | Q | Okay. And so are you saying that \$150 is too  |
| 7        |        | Do you see that?                                   | 7  |   | much for Dr. Floros to review your medical   |
| 8        | A      | Yes.   | 8  |   | records, come to chiropractic opinions to a  |
| 9        | Q      | And that's what they were treating you for,        | 9  |   | reasonable degree of certainty or probability  |
| LO       |        | correct?   | 10 |   | and prepare this report? Are you saying \$150  |
| 11       | A      | Actually, they were treating me for shoulder       | 11 | _ | is too much?   |
| 12       | _      | injury.  | 12 | A | I didn't see him very often.   |
| L3       | Q      | Well, we'll get there in a second. It says         | 13 | Q | That wasn't the question.  |
| 14       |        | "soft tissue injury," it doesn't describe where    | 14 | A | I know that wasn't the question, but I'm   |
| 15       |        | yet, but it was a soft tissue injury.              | 15 |   | telling you my answer, sir.  |
| 16       |        | Do you know what that means or not?                | 16 | Q | Okay. Well, you're saying  |
| .7       | A      | Yes, I know what that means.                       | 17 | A | And it's a little bit longer than a yes or no,   |
| .8       | Q      | And that's what they were treating you for,        | 18 | ^ | please.  |
| 19       |        | correct?   | 19 | Q | Go ahead. Actually go ahead.   |
| 20       | A      | Yeah.  | 20 | A | Thank you.   |
| 21       | Q      | The next line, "She presented with most pain       | 21 | Q | Take your time.  |
| 22       |        | through her entire spine and right shoulder."      | 22 | A | Thank you.   |
| 23       |        | That's an accurate description, isn't it?          | 23 | Q | Go at it.  |
|          |        |  | 24 | A | THE PARTY OF THE P |
| 24<br>25 | A<br>Q | Yes. "Her joint pain was relentless as a result of | 25 | 0 | Thank you. You have the floor.   |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 181-184

| 0110     | J3/Z | 018  |          |        | Pages 181–18                                     |
|----------|------|--|----------|--------|--|
| 1        | 7.   | Page 181   |          |        | Page 18  |
| 1        | A    | Thank you very much.   | 1        |        | in parenthesis.                                  |
| 2        | Q    | You're welcome.  | 2        |        | Do you see that?                                 |
| 3        | A    | I didn't see him but a couple of times.                                  | 3        | A      | Oh, yeah.  |
| 4        | Q    | Okay.  | 4        | Q      | And then 4,500 was the amount that they were     |
| 5        | A    | So yes, honestly I do think \$150 is a little                            | 5        | _      | actually paying to him though, correct?          |
| 6        |      | much to go in and write out a report.                                    | 6        | A      | Yes.   |
| 7        | Q    | Really?  | 7        | Q      | So this was for your actual chiropractic         |
| 8        | A    | When all's I did was go in and see him and he                            | 8        |        | treatment; when you add up all the visits and    |
| 9        |      | just wrote out a prescription for pain meds,                             | 9        |        | how much they charged for the visits, it was     |
| 10       |      | yes, really.   | 10       |        | \$5,025, correct?                                |
| 11       | Q    | Okay. Thank you. Do you know what this report                            | 11       | A      | Okay.  |
| 12       |      | was used for?  | 12       | Q      | Correct, ma'am?                                  |
| 13       | A    | Yes.   | 13       | A      | Okay, yes.                                       |
| 14       | Q    | What was it used for?  | 14       | Q      | And in fact, KNR was able to negotiate \$525 off |
| 15       | A    | For them, KNR.   | 15       |        | of that bill, correct?                           |
| 16       | Q    | Excuse me?   | 16       | A      | I don't know.                                    |
| 17       | A    | To get me this little bit of money.                                      | 17       | Q      | Well, they only paid them 4,500, correct?        |
| 18       | Q    | Okay. It was used to help settle your case,                              | 18       | A      | That's how much they took that day you said.     |
| 19       |      | fair?  | 19       | Q      | Okay. Do you know whether they ever paid Akror   |
| 20       | A    | Yeah.  | 20       |        | Square Chiropractic anything else?               |
| 21       | Q    | Okay. And so how much do you think a                                     | 21       | A      | No, I do not.                                    |
| 22       |      | chiropractor or a health care provider should                            | 22       | Q      | And you don't owe Akron Square Chiropractic      |
| 23       |      | charge to prepare a detailed report like this                            | 23       |        | practice as you sit here, do you?                |
| 24       |      | to help you get a settlement?  | 24       | A      | I haven't received a bill.                       |
| 25       | A    | Well, sir, when you've got a thousand dollars                            | 25       | Q      | Okay. So if they negotiated a discount of \$525  |
|          |      | Page 182   |          |        | Page 184   |
| 1        |      | down here for Akron Square Chiropractic,                                 | 1        |        | off that bill, that's 525 extra dollars that     |
| 2        |      | 5,000-some-odd dollars, and then you've got up                           | 2        |        | went in your pocket, true?                       |
| 3        |      | here, come on, really?   | 3        | A      | If they negotiated it, yes.                      |
| 4        | Q    | That really wasn't my question though. I'll                              | 4        | Q      | If so you look at the top, the 150 for this      |
| 5        |      | ask my question again in a second.                                       | 5        |        | report, would you have rather had them           |
| 6        | A    | I know what you were   | 6        |        | negotiate 520 off and pay the 150 or would you   |
| 7        | Q    | I'll go to where you were  | 7        |        | have rather paid the whole 5,025?                |
| 8        | A    | I know what you were asking. You were saying                             | 8        | A      | I guess I would have rather negotiated.          |
| 9        |      | how much do you think they should be, you know.                          | 9        | Q      | Okay. And so if we look back now at the          |
| 10       | Q    | Do you know if you could have got a settlement                           | 10       |        | interrogatory or request for admissions answer,  |
| 11       |      | from Allstate without this report?                                       | 11       |        | and you say that you "deny the report was        |
| 12       | A    | No, I don't.   | 12       |        | necessary."                                      |
| 13       | Q    | Okay.  | 13       |        | Okay. Why do you deny that this report           |
| 14       | A    | And if I it would have probably been what                                | 14       |        | was necessary?                                   |
| 15       |      | Richard got, \$3,000.  | 15       | A      | I didn't say it was nec                          |
| 16       | Q    | So if you got more than \$150 extra from                                 | 16       | Q      | Do you believe it was necessary?                 |
| 17       |      | Allstate because of this report, aren't you                              | 17       | A      | I'm looking at it and I don't know.              |
| 18       |      | glad that they prepared it?  | 18       | Q      | Okay.  |
| 19       | A    | In some way, yeah.   | 19       | A      | I just I don't know.                             |
| 20       | Q    | Okay. Now, you did mention though about the                              | 20       | Q      | And you have no idea what a reasonable charge    |
| 21       |      | two charges, so let's look back at Defendants'                           | 21       |        | from a chiropractor is for reports setting       |
|          |      | Exhibit 5, ma'am, if you could please remove                             | 22       |        | forth opinions like this, is that true?          |
| 22       |      |  |          | _      |  |
| 22<br>23 |      | that and look at Exhibit 5 again.  | 23       | A      | That's true.                                     |
|          |      | that and look at Exhibit 5 again.  Okay. Now, on Exhibit 5 you noted the | 23<br>24 | A<br>Q | Okay. Why then are you saying the \$150 is too   |

Pages 209-212

| 07/      | 03/2 | 010   |    |    | rages 209–21                                     |
|----------|------|---|----|----|--|
| 1        | A    | Page 209  | 1  |    | Page 21<br>What do you mean by "conflicted legal |
| 2        | 0    | I'm sorry?  | 2  |    | representation"?                                 |
| 3        | A    | I don't know.   | 3  | 7  | _  |
|          |      | Okay. What do you mean by "coerce"?                     |    | A  | I was conflicted. I was in a vulnerable spot.    |
| 4        | Q    |   | 4  | Q  | And that's what you mean by "conflicted legal    |
| 5        | A    | After I talked to Akron Square, I felt like I           | 5  | _  | representation"?                                 |
| 6        |      | really didn't have well, I know I had                   | 6  | A  | Exactly. I was vulnerable.                       |
| 7        |      | another choice. I know there was other people           | 7  | Q  | Because of?                                      |
| 8        |      | out there, other lawyers out there, but after I         |    | A  | Because I was in a motorcycle wreck. I just      |
| 9        |      | talked to Akron Square, it was like "Don't talk         |    |    | went seven, ten feet in the air, thrown down or  |
| 10       |      | to anybody else. We want to help you out.               | 10 |    | Arlington, broke my shoulder, got a concussion   |
| 11       |      | Don't talk to any other lawyers. Come to us."           | 11 |    | and here I am going through numerous crap with   |
| 12       | Q    | Wait, wait, wait. Who said "don't talk to any           | 12 |    | attorneys.                                       |
| 13       |      | other lawyers"?   | 13 | Q  | Numerous crap?                                   |
| 14       | A    | Whoever I talked to on the phone with Akron             | 14 | A  | It seemed like it with me, yes.                  |
| 15       |      | Square.   | 15 | Q  | Now, you voluntarily went to Akron Square,       |
| 16       | Q    | Okay. You're not saying KNR said "don't talk            | 16 |    | correct?   |
| 17       |      | to any other lawyers," are you?                         | 17 | A  | Yes, I did.                                      |
| 18       |      | Are you, ma'am?   | 18 | Q  | You voluntarily got on the phone with KNR?       |
| 19       | A    | Eventually when I signed on, I wasn't supposed          | 19 | A  | Yes, I did.                                      |
| 20       |      | to but  | 20 | Q  | You knew   |
| 21       | Q    | What do you mean you weren't "supposed to"?             | 21 | A  | It was voluntarily, yes, I did, but it was       |
| 22       | A    | You can't talk to nobody else.                          | 22 |    | still stressful for me.                          |
| 23       | 0    | Okay. Well, you understand what they meant was          | 23 | Q  | Okay. Stressful is a little different than       |
| 24       | ~    | they told you don't talk to other people about          | 24 | ** | conflicted legal representation. So you're       |
| 25       |      | this lawsuit because those are the types of             | 25 |    | saying conflicted means                          |
|          |      | distribute because these are the types of               | 23 |    | baying confined means                            |
| 1        |      | Page 210 things that down the road if you talked to     | 1  | 78 | Page 21:   |
| 2        |      |   | 1  | A  | But I was still vulnerable.                      |
|          |      | somebody about a lawsuit like you talked to             | 2  | Q  | Okay.  |
| 3        |      | your mom, we can go ask your mom questions              | 3  | A  | It was chaos.                                    |
| 4        |      | about it. Matt explained that to you, correct?          | 4  | Q  | So the conflict you're talking about is the      |
| 5        | A    | I   | 5  | _  | fact that you were vulnerable?                   |
| 6        | Q    | He explained that to you, didn't he?                    | 6  | A  | Yeah, it was chaos.                              |
| 7        | A    | He may have.  | 7  | Q  | Okay.  |
| 8        | Q    | Okay. And never once did Matt or any other              | 8  | A  | It was conflicting. It was chaos.                |
| 9        |      | lawyer or anybody else at KNR tell you that you         | 9  |    | MR. PATTAKOS: Tom, it's been                     |
| 10       |      | weren't able to consult with any other lawyers,         | 10 |    | 16 minutes now.                                  |
| 11       |      | did they?   | 11 |    | MR. MANNION: Okay. One                           |
| 12       | A    | I wasn't supposed to be talking with any                | 12 |    | second. We're still on this paragraph.           |
| 13       |      | lawyers.  | 13 | Q  | And then it states they charged you a            |
| 14       | Q    | You're telling me that Matt told you you can't          | 14 |    | "fraudulent narrative fee," and that's the 150   |
| 15       |      | talk to any lawyers? Now, I understand if a             | 15 |    | you're referring to?                             |
| 16       |      | lawyer from the guy who hit you called or if a          | 16 | A  | Yes.   |
| 17       |      | lawyer from the insurance company called, but           | 17 | Q  | Okay. What is fraudulent about that narrative    |
| 18       |      | you're not saying Matt told you not to talk to          | 18 |    | fee?   |
| L9       |      | any lawyers for your own benefit, are you?              | 19 | A  | Like I said, I don't think he should have        |
| 20       | A    | I don't no.   | 20 | -  | charged me that much for a report.               |
| 21       | Q    | Okay.   | 21 | Q  | So you disagree with the price of a report and   |
| 22       | A    | Let's get this over with.                               | 22 | ×  | call that fraudulent?                            |
| 23       |      | And it says further on here, that they coerced          | 23 | A  | Yes, I do.                                       |
|          | ×    | -   |    |    |  |
| 14       |      | Voll into "accenting a conflicted legal                 |    |    |  |
| 24<br>25 |      | you into "accepting a conflicted legal representation " | 24 | Q  | Okay. Anything else about it that you believe    |
| 24<br>25 |      | representation."  | 25 | Q  | was fraudulent for the narrative fee? I mean,    |

Pages 213-216

| 07/      | 03/2 | 018   |          |        | Pages 213–216   |
|----------|------|---|----------|--------|---|
| 1        |      | Page 213  |          |        | Page 215  |
| 1        |      | you see he actually did the narrative, correct?                     | 1        | A      | No.   |
| 2        | A    | Yeah.   | 2        | Q      | It would depend on how much care they received?                                       |
| 3        | Q    | So what about it other than the fact you think                      | 3        | A      | Right.  |
| 4        | 7    | it was too much do you think was fraudulent?                        | 4        | Q      | How long the report was, correct?   |
| 5        | A    | Well, who knows if it wasn't cut and pasted?                        | 5        | A      | Right.  |
| 6        | Q    | Well, you do not know, do you?                                      | 6        | Q      | How much time was spent on the report?  |
| 7        | A    | No. Do you?   | 7        | A      | Right, their injuries.  |
| 8        | Q    | Hey, I do actually. I do.   | 8        | Q      | It would be different for every patient?  |
| 9        | A    | Really?   | 9        | A      | Right, right.   |
| 10       | Q    | Yes.  | 10       | Q      | Different for every client?   |
| 11 12    | A    | Okay.   | 11       | A      | Right.  |
| 13       | Q    | Now, why would you allege something is                              | 12       | Q      | You'd have to ask the chiropractor or whoever   |
|          |      | fraudulent if you don't know if it's true or not?                   | 13       |        | provided the report about each and every one of                                       |
| 14<br>15 | 78   | Didn't look real to me, to be honest.                               | 14       |        | those patients to know whether that particular  |
| 16       | A    | •   | 15       |        | patient received value for \$150 for that   |
|          | Q    | Well, my point is at the time this complaint                        | 16       | 2      | report, true?   |
| 17       | 2    | was filed, you had never seen the report?                           | 17       | A      | I'd say yes.  |
| 19       | A    | No, I didn't.   | 18       | Q      | Okay. And that would be the same with the \$50  |
| 20       | Q    | So how would you know it was fraudulent?                            | 19       |        | for an investigator, you'd have to see what the                                       |
| 21       | A    | Because that's an awful lot of doggone money to                     | 20       |        | investigator did in each and every case to know                                       |
| 22       | 0    | charge for a frigging report.                                       | 21       |        | whether it was worth \$50, true?  |
| 23       | Q    | Okay. Anything else about it that you think was fraudulent?         | 22       | A      | Yes.  |
| 1        | 7.   | No.   | 23       | Q      | To do that, you'd have to talk to and look at   |
| 24 25    | A    |   | 24<br>25 |        | everything the investigator did in a particular                                       |
| 25       | Q    | So you think it was too much doggone money to                       | 25       |        | case, correct?  |
| 1        |      | Page 214  | -1       |        | Page 216  |
| 1 2      |      | pay for a report that you had never seen before                     | 1        | A      | Yes.  |
| 2        |      | and that's what you mean by "fraudulent narrative fee"?             | 2        | Q      | Okay. And you don't know how much time  |
| 3 4      | 7.   | Yes.  | 3        |        | Dr. Floros or any other chiropractor put into   |
| 5        | A    |   | 4        |        | any narrative report for any other client, do   |
| 6        | Q    | Okay.   | 5        | 7      | you?  |
| 7        |      | MR. MANNION: We can take a break.                                   | 6        | A      | No.   |
| 8        |      |   | 7        | Q      | We'd have to look at each one of those cases  |
| 1        |      |   | 8        |        | separately, fair?   |
| 9<br>10  |      | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The time is 4:12.                 | 9        | A      | Fair.   |
| 11       |      | The time is 4:12.   | 10       | Q      | You don't know how much value any of the  |
| 12       |      | (Recess was had.)   | 11       |        | insurance companies put value wise on those   |
| 13       |      | (Recess was Had.)   | 12       | 3      | reports for anybody's case, do you?   |
| 14       |      | THE VIDEOCRADHED. Dook on the                                       | 13       | A      | No, I don't.  |
| 15       |      | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is 4:25.             | 14       | Q      | You'd have to ask every individual claims   |
| 16       | 0    | We were talking about the narrative fee, and                        | 15<br>16 |        | examiner how much value they put on that  |
| 17       | Q    | -   | 17       | 3      | report?   |
| 18       |      | one of the things you told me, if I heard you                       |          | A      | Yes.  |
| 19       |      | correctly, is that \$150 was too much and it                        | 18       | Q      | Do you know how many people received these  |
| 1        |      | should have been more in the 80 or \$85 range.                      | 19       | 70     | narrative reports?  |
| 20       | 7.   | Do you recall that? Yes.  | 20       | A      | In this class action?   |
| 22       | A    |   | 21       | Q<br>N | Yes.  |
| 144      | Q    | Okay. Now, as far as how much that narrative                        | 22<br>23 | A      | I think there are four or five of us.  Okay. But do you know for the people that      |
|          |      |   | 15       | 0      | UKAY, BUL DO YOU KDOW FOR FDE DEODLE FRAT   |
| 23       |      | fee is worth to anybody else's case, any other                      |          | ×      |   |
| I.       |      | clients of KNR, you don't know how much it's worth to them, do you? | 24<br>25 | ×      | you're saying where you are the class representative, do you know how many people are |

Pages 237-240

| 07/0     | 03/2   | 018   |          |         | Pages 237–246  |
|----------|--------|---|----------|---------|--|
| 1        |        | Page 237  |          | ^       | Page 239   |
| 1 2      | A      | sit here, you don't recall the date, correct?  Not the exact date.                            | 1        | Q       | You don't know one way or another?   |
| 1        |        |   | 2        | A       | Exactly, and I never will.   |
| 3        | Q      | Okay. What's your best estimate of the date as you sit here?                                  | 3        | Q       | And you never had to give a deposition in the  |
| 5        | A      | you sit here: I don't remember.   | 4        |         | underlying case, did you, the accident case  |
| 6        |        |   | 5        | 3.      | where Allstate paid you?   |
| 7        | Q      | Okay. If you turn the page, it says "Ms. Reid   | 6        | A       | No, I did not.   |
| 8        |        | first contacted plaintiffs' counsel on March<br>27, 2017 by telephone after having read about | 7        | Q<br>A  | Okay. A lawsuit wasn't even filed, was it?   |
| 9        |        | the case in the news."  | 8        | A       | No.  |
| 10       |        | Did I read that correctly?  | 9        | Q       | You were able to get that recovery without any   |
| 11       | A      | Where are you?  | 11       |         | of the things that are happening in this case  |
| 12       | Q      | The answer to Interrogatory Number 26   | 12       |         | like video discovery and complaints and all that, correct?                             |
| 13       | A      | I was just there.   | 13       | 2       | ·  |
|          | •      | •   |          | A       | Right.   |
| 14       | 0      | Oh, yeah, yes. Okay. And by reading about the case in the                                     | 14       | Q<br>7a | And you're glad for that, aren't you?  |
| 15       | Q      |   | 15       | A       | Yeah.  |
| 16       |        | news, what you mean is going to the Chandra   | 16       | Q       | I mean, do you know if Allstate had hired a  |
| 17       |        | link that then took you to the newspaper article?   | 17       |         | lawyer to defend the case against you that they  |
| 18       | 7.     |   | 18       |         | would have looked into all the care and  |
| 19       | A      | Yes.  | 19       |         | treatment and your background? Do you know   |
| 20       | Q      | And if it wasn't for that link being on   | 20       | n       | that?  |
| 21       |        | Facebook and you clicking on it, you would not  | 21       | A       | Yes.   |
| 22       |        | be here as a plaintiff against my clients right<br>now, fair?                                 | 22       | Q       | And if they did all that, do you know whether  |
| 24       | 7.     |   | 23       | Α.      | they would have agreed to pay 45,000?  |
| 25       | A      | Probably not. I don't think I would have found it.  | 24<br>25 | A       | I don't know.  |
| رے       |        |   | 25       | Q       | Okay. Now, KNR, and when I say "KNR," I'm  |
| 1        | ^      | Page 238  |          |         | Page 240   |
| 1        | Q      | And you would have gone on and not had any  | 1        |         | including the lawyers there, Matt Walker or any  |
| 2        |        | stress at all about this \$150, true?   | 2        |         | of the others, they never pressured you into   |
| 3        | A      | Probably not.   | 3        |         | unwanted medical care, did they?   |
| 4        | Q      | So would you have rather have had KNR pay the   | 4        | A       | No.  |
| 5        |        | 150 out of their own pocket, but not waive the  | 5        | Q       | They never pressured you into unwanted   |
| 6        | 7.     | \$333 from the thousand dollar MedPay?  | 6        |         | chiropractic care, did they?   |
| 7        | A      | No.   | 7        | A       | No.  |
| 8        | Q<br>A | They treated you fairly, didn't they, ma'am?  | 8        | Q       | Okay. So if we look at your answer to  |
| 9        | A      | I guess to an extent.   | 9        |         | Interrogatory Number 29, and before you told me  |
| 10       | Q      | Well, other than the \$150 fee that you think<br>should have been 85, was there anything else | 10       |         | that the conflicted legal representation was   |
| 11<br>12 |        | 1 2   | 11       |         | your own internal conflict because you were  |
|          |        | that KNR or their attorney did that you think was unfair to you?                              | 12       |         | vulnerable or whatever the words are you used,   |
| 13<br>14 | λ      | No.   | 13       |         | but that's not the answer you gave when you  |
| 15       | A<br>O |   | 14<br>15 |         | were under oath answering these  |
| 16       | Q      | Okay. Are you claiming that some other lawyer   |          |         | interrogatories, is it? Would you agree your   |
| 17       | A      | somehow could have got more money for you? You know, I don't even know.                       | 16       |         | answer to Interrogatory Number 29 is completely  |
| 18       |        |   | 17       |         | different from what you told me before about   |
| 19       | Q      | Okay. So you're not making that claim, are  | 18       | 7.      | conflicted local representation?   |
| 20       | A      | you? No, I'm not because I don't even know. I never   | 19       | A       | Yes.   |
| 21       | Д      | ·   | 20       | Q       | And your answer to Interrogatory Number 29, it   |
| 22       | 0      | went to another lawyer and talked to them.  | 21<br>22 |         | indicates "pressuring clients into unwanted and  |
| 23       | Q<br>A | Okay. I should have, but I didn't.  | 23       |         | unneeded chiropractic care."   |
| 23<br>24 | Q      | They may not have got as much for you?  | 24       |         | And you've already told us they didn't do that to you. Do you know anybody they did do |
| 25       | A.     | It's a possibility.   | 25       |         | that too?  |
| 20       |        | TO D a population.  | ۷.       |         | chae coo:  |

Pages 241-244

| 07/0           | 13/2          | 018  |          |   | Pages 241–24                                    |
|----------------|---------------|--|----------|---|---|
| 1              | A             | Page 241   |          |   | Page 243  |
| 2              |               |  | 1        | Q | I'm sorry?                                      |
|                | Q             | Why would you sign something saying that they                | 2        | A | Claiming the kick-backs.                        |
| 3              |               | pressured clients into unwanted and unneeded                 | 3        | Q | That's what the fraud lawsuits are against the  |
| 4              |               | chiropractic care if you didn't know?                        | 4        |   | certain chiropractors?                          |
| 5              | A             | I was talking to my attorney.                                | 5        | A | I believe, yes.                                 |
| 6              | Q             | Okay. Then it says "Failing to advise clients                | 6        | Q | Okay. Where were these lawsuits at?             |
| 7              |               | of fraud lawsuits by major insurance companies               | 7        | A | I do not know.                                  |
| 8              |               | against certain chiropractors."                              | 8        | Q | What about which insurance companies filed      |
| 9              |               | What "fraud lawsuits" are you referring                      | 9        | _ | them? Do you know?                              |
| 10             |               | to?  | 10       | A | I'm not sure.                                   |
| 11             | A             | I was talking to my attorney.                                | 11       | Q | Would that have made any difference to your     |
| 12             | Q             | No, but which fraud lawsuits are you referring               | 12       | _ | case?   |
| 13             | _             | to?  | 13       | A | I'm unsure.                                     |
| 14             | A             | This one here.   | 14       | Q | At the top of your answer there, you say "The   |
| 15             | Q             | This says "by major insurance companies."                    | 15       |   | defendants maintain unlawful quid pro quo       |
| 16             |               | Are there any insurance companies who are                    | 16       |   | relationships with chiropractors."              |
| 17             |               | party to this case? You can look at the                      | 17       |   | What do you mean by that?                       |
| 18             |               | complaint again if you want. That's the                      | 18       | A | Just seems like they have the my-hand-washes-   |
| 19             |               | discovery. You can look at Exhibit 4 is the                  | 19       |   | your-hand-type relationship.                    |
| 20             |               | Third Amended Complaint and tell me if you see               | 20       | Q | That's pretty general. What specifically        |
| 21             |               | any insurance companies who are parties.                     | 21       | A | Okay.   |
| 22             | A             | Oh, for heaven's sake. There's so many in here               | 22       | Q | is the quid pro quo relationship?               |
| 23             |               | now.   | 23       | A | I will give you I will give you oh, my          |
| 24             | Q             | Okay. Go take a look.  | 24       |   | goodness gracious.                              |
| 25             | A             | No. Third parties.   | 25       | Q | You understand you're suing my clients and      |
|                |               | Page 242   |          |   | Page 244  |
| 1              | Q             | Are there any insurance companies listed as                  | 1        |   | asking them for money, correct?                 |
| 2              |               | plaintiffs in that case, in your case?                       | 2        |   | Ma'am?  |
| 3              | A             | No.  | 3        | A | Yes.  |
| 4              | Q             | So what fraud lawsuits by major insurance                    | 4        | Q | In fact, you're asking them for money for all   |
| 5              |               | companies against certain chiropractors are you              | 5        |   | sorts of different people that we don't even    |
| 6              |               | referring to?  | 6        |   | know their names yet, correct?                  |
| 7              | A             | I said I was talking to my attorney.                         | 7        | A | And I am trying my best, okay, I have medical   |
| 8              | Q             | Well, I'm not asking where you got the                       | 8        |   | conditions and I am trying my best to fight     |
| 9              |               | information from. I'm saying what fraud                      | 9        |   | through this right now.                         |
| 10             |               | lawsuits are you talking about?                              | 10       | Q | Okay. What medical conditions do you have that  |
| 11             | A             | I don't know.  | 11       |   | are preventing you or causing you to have to    |
| 12             | Q             | Do you know of any fraud lawsuits against                    | 12       |   | fight through this?                             |
| 13             |               | certain chiropractors?                                       | 13       | A | I don't want to bring that. Just let me deal    |
| 14             |               | The answer is no, isn't it?                                  | 14       |   | with this, please.                              |
| 15             | A             | Yes.   | 15       | Q | Well, if I was a potential member of the class, |
| 16             | Q             | Okay. Which "certain chiropractors" are you                  | 16       |   | do you think I'd have a right to know whether   |
| 17             |               | referring to? Do you even know?                              | 17       |   | you have any medical conditions that might      |
| 18             | A             | The only one I would be referring to would be                | 18       |   | impact your ability to be my representative?    |
|                |               | the one I'm Akron Square.                                    | 19       |   | THE WITNESS: You might want                     |
| 19             |               | Okay. And do you have any idea as you sit here               | 20       |   | to get another head of the class, Peter.        |
| 19<br>20       | Q             | only. The do you have day race do you be here                |          |   |   |
|                | Q             | now what these fraud lawsuits allegedly claim?               | 21       |   | Seriously, I'm done.                            |
| 20             | Q<br><b>A</b> |  | 21<br>22 |   | Seriously, I'm done.  MR. PATTAKOS: There are   |
| 20<br>21       | ~             | now what these fraud lawsuits allegedly claim?               |          |   | -   |
| 20<br>21<br>22 | A             | now what these fraud lawsuits allegedly claim?  Oh, my word. | 22       |   | MR. PATTAKOS: There are                         |

Pages 249-252

| 0 //              | 03/2 | 2018  |          |               | Pages 249–25  |
|-------------------|------|---|----------|---------------|---|
| 1                 |      | Page 249  |          |               | Page 25   |
| 1 2               | Q    | They probably would have still have required  | 1        | Q             | Any other mental health or medical                          |
| 3                 | A    | it, right? True?  | 2        | *             | conditions  |
| 3<br>4            | 0    | Yes.  Are you saying that somehow in Summit County,                                   | 3        | A             | Yes.  |
| 5                 | Q    | Ohio that the cost of narrative fees from   | 4        | Q             | that are causing go ahead.                                  |
|                   |      |   | 5        | A             | I'm getting there. I have Hashimoto's which i               |
| 6                 | 7    | experts is typically less than 150?   | 6        | _             | a form of it's linked with hypothyroidism.                  |
| 7                 | A    | I don't know how much the cost is.  | 7        | Q             | What does that cause?                                       |
| 8                 | Q    | Okay. If I told you it's usually well in  | 8        | A             | It's hypothyroidism?  |
| 10                |      | excess of 150, do you have any evidence to contradict that?                           | 9        | Q             | No, no, not the other thing.                                |
| 11                | 78   |   | 10       | A             | Hashimoto's? It causes the hypothyroidism and               |
|                   | A    | No, I don't. Like I said, I don't know how much it is.                                | 11       | 0             | goiters.  |
| L2                | 0    |   | 12       | Q             | Does that cause you problems being a class rep              |
| L3                | Q    | If in fact the average cost for a narrative fee                                       | 13       |               | and answering these questions?                              |
| L4                |      | like this to help obtain a settlement is more   | 14       | A             | No, but the depression would.                               |
| 15                |      | than \$150, aren't you glad that KNR was able to                                      | 15       | Q             | Okay.   |
| 16<br>17          | 7.   | get it for 150?   | 16       | A             | But I'm on medication for that, so that levels              |
| . /               | A    | Well, if that was the case, but I don't know.   | 17       | ^             | me out, but I that's it.                                    |
|                   | Q    | But as you sit here, you don't know?  | 18       | Q             | Are those the only medical or mental health                 |
| .9                | A    | Don't know, no.   | 19       |               | conditions that you believe are impacting your              |
| 20                | Q    | And whether a certain client felt pressured   | 20       |               | ability or causing you to have to fight throug              |
| 21                |      | into unwanted or unneeded chiropractic care,  | 21       |               | this?   |
| 22                | 78   | each of those cases would be different, true?   | 22       | A             | Just mainly the depression.                                 |
| 13                | A    | Yes.  | 23       | Q             | Okay. And what does that cause you that makes               |
| 24                | Q    | It's not true in your case, but if it's true in                                       | 24       |               | this more difficult?  |
| 25                |      | somebody else's, they'd have to look at that  | 25       | A             | Just stressful.   |
| 1                 |      | Page 250 particular case, fair?   | -1       | _             | Page 25:  |
| 2                 | A    | Yes.  | 1        | Q<br>A        | Does it impact your concentration?  Sometimes.              |
| 3                 | _    |   | 2        | A             |   |
| 4                 | Q    | And you'd have to do that with each of the potential class members?                   | 3        | Q             | Does it impact your ability to understand                   |
| 5                 | A    | -   | 4        |               | certain issues?   |
| 5<br>6            | Q    | Yep.  Now, again, I want to go back to what are the                                   | 5        | A             | Sometimes.  |
| 7                 | Q    | medical conditions and/or mental health   | 6<br>7   | Q             | Does if cause you sometimes to sort of withdraw             |
| 8                 |      |   |          | *             | from the world or   |
| 9                 |      | conditions that you're claiming that you have to fight through to do this deposition? | 8        | A             | Sometimes.  |
| 9                 | 2    | I'm not getting into my mental health.  | 9<br>10  | Q             | Would you want you as a class representative if             |
| 1                 |      | MR. PATTAKOS: I think that  |          | 7.            | you were in this class?                                     |
| 2                 |      | would be subject to the protective order,   | 11<br>12 | A             | Honestly?   |
| 2<br>3            |      | Thera, so I think you can go ahead and answer   | 13       | Q<br><b>A</b> | Yes.  |
| <i>3</i>          |      | the question and it will remain confidential  | 13       | 0             | No. I'm sorry.  |
| <del>1</del><br>5 |      | within this lawsuit under the protective order  | 15       | V             | Okay. And who are you seeing for your                       |
| 5<br>6            |      | _   | 16       | 7.            | depression?   |
| 7                 |      |   | 16<br>17 | A             | Her name is Laura Kidd. K-I-D-D?                            |
| ,<br>8            |      | about this?   |          | Q<br>A        |   |
| 9                 |      |   | 18<br>19 |               | Yes.  |
| 9                 | A    | I have depression.  |          | Q             | Where does she practice?                                    |
| )<br>L            | 0    | _   | 20       | A             | Access Point on Arlington.                                  |
| L<br>2            | A.   |   | 21       | Q<br>N        | What are you taking for the depression?                     |
| <u>.</u><br>}     | 0    |   | 22       | A             | Paxil and Cymbalta.   |
| 3<br>4            | Ų    |   | 23       | Q             | Okay. Is there some reason there's a                        |
| £                 |      |   | 24<br>25 | A             | combination?  Because the Paxil wasn't working well enough. |
| 5                 | A    |   |          |               |   |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 285-288

| 07/0 | J3/Z | 018  |     |    | Pages 285–288  |
|------|------|--|-----|----|--|
| 1    | A    | Page 285<br>It probably is. It would be my luck. Yes, it |     |    | Page 287   |
| 2    | A    | is.  | 1 2 |    | "Defendants, as a matter of KNR firm policy,<br>directed their clients to treat with certain |
| 3    | Q    | If you turn to Page 38.                                  | 3   |    |  |
| 4    | v    | -  | 4   |    | chiropractors regardless of their client's   |
| 5    |      | And do you see Paragraph 138 right above                 | 5   |    | preferences or needs."   |
| 6    | A    | that, it has "V Class Allegations"? Yes.                 | 6   | 2  | Did I read that correctly?   |
| 7    | Q    |  | 7   | A  | Yes.   |
| 8    | A    | And then you see there's A, B, C and D? Yes.             | 8   | Q  | Okay. They never directed you to treat with  |
| 9    | Q    | And do you know which of these you've been               | 9   |    | any specific chiropractor, did they?  MR. PATTAKOS: Objection.                               |
| 10   | Q    | designated for as the class representative?              | 10  | Q  | MR. PATTAKOS: Objection.  You've already answered it several times, but                      |
| 11   | A    | D.   | 11  | Q  | I'm just making it clear here.   |
| 12   | 0    | Okay. Do you know whether you're a member, not           | 12  | A  | It wasn't forceful.  |
| 13   | ×    | the representative, but a member of classes A,           | 13  | 0  | Well, you started treating with them before you  |
| 14   |      | B or C if it's eventually certified as a class?          | 14  | Q  | ever talked to KNR, right? You went to Akron   |
| 15   | A    | I do not.  | 15  |    | Square even before you talked to KNR?  |
| 16   | Q    | Okay. If we now look at the next page, Page              | 16  | A  | Well, I wasn't treating there, but I was there   |
| 17   | ×    | 39.  | 17  | -  | at Akron Square, yes, and they put me on the   |
| 18   |      | And Paragraph 140 alleges that "There's                  | 18  |    | phone with KNR.  |
| 19   |      | common legal or factual issues that affect the           | 19  | Q  | Okay.  |
| 20   |      | classes," and then there's some it lists out,            | 20  | A  | But I wasn't getting treated with Akron Square.  |
| 21   |      | and if we look at 140, Paragraph 140,                    | 21  | 0  | So we can go back and look at some of the  |
| 22   |      | Subparagraph B, it says for Classes B and D.             | 22  | ×  | testimony if we need to.   |
| 23   | A    | Okay.  | 23  | A  | No, it's all right.  |
| 24   | 0    | And we just talked about you being the                   | 24  | 0  | But would you agree that, and you already told   |
| 25   | ~    | potential representative for Class D, correct?           | 25  | ν. | us earlier, KNR never directed you to treat  |
|      |      |  |     |    |  |
| 1    | A    | Page 286   | 1   |    | Page 288 with any certain chiropractor, true?  |
| 2    | 0    | So it goes on say what the complaint alleges             | 2   | A  | True.  |
| 3    | ×    | and the common legal or factual issues, and if           | 3   | 0  | Okay. And for us to determine whether KNR  |
| 4    |      | we look at number I shouldn't say however                | 4   | ×  | directed any of their clients to treat with any  |
| 5    |      | you want to call it, little letter i, do you             | 5   |    | certain chiropractor, we'd have to look at each  |
| 6    |      | see that on the next page on Page 40?                    | 6   |    | of those cases separately, wouldn't we?  |
| 7    | A    | Yes.   | 7   | A  | Yes.   |
| 8    | 0    | "Defendants maintained arrangements with Akron           | 8   | 0  | We'd have to talk to the lawyers and paralegals  |
| 9    | ~    | Square and other chiropractors from                      | 9   | ×  | at   |
| 10   |      | Plambeck-owned clinics 'the chiropractors' by            | 10  | A  | Yes, you would.  |
| 11   |      | which defendants and Akron Square split certain          | 11  | 0  | You'd have to talk to the separate lawyers or  |
| 12   |      | marketing costs to target clients for both KNR           | 12  | *  | paralegals who interacted with those clients?  |
| 13   |      | and the chiropractors."                                  | 13  | A  | Yes.   |
| 14   |      | Now, you have no idea whether that's                     | 14  | 0  | Okay. In v, it talks about the narrative fee   |
| 15   |      | true, do you?  | 15  | ×  | being paid as a way to "reward certain   |
| 16   | A    | I do not know.   | 16  |    | chiropractors."  |
| 17   | Q    | Okay. In ii, you allege as one of the                    | 17  |    | Now, you don't expect chiropractors to   |
| 18   | ×    | plaintiffs and potential class representative            | 18  |    | write narrative reports for free, do you?  |
| 19   |      | that the chiropractor's representatives, their           | 19  | A  | I wouldn't say for free.   |
| 20   |      | actions were to circumvent the Ohio rules of             | 20  | 0  | And you have no idea as to whether KNR has a   |
| 21   |      | professional conduct.                                    | 21  | ×  | policy or doesn't have a policy regarding  |
| 22   |      | You don't know whether that's true, do                   | 22  |    | narrative fees in order to reward  |
| 23   |      | you?   | 23  |    | chiropractors, do you?   |
| 24   | A    | I was relying on my attorney.                            | 24  | A  | I was just like I said earlier, I was going  |
| 25   | Q    | Okay. And iii on Page 40 indicates                       | 25  | Q  | On your attorney?  |
|      | ~    | J  |     | ~  |  |

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 289-292

|                   |        |   |          |               | 4  |
|-------------------|--------|---|----------|---------------|--|
| 4                 | Q      | True?   | 24       | Q             | Well, your words here, "KNR recovered." My   |
| 3                 |        | MR. PATTAKOS: Objection.  | 23       |               | They gave it to me, but okay.  |
| 2                 |        | determine that?   | 22       | A             | Well, they didn't really recover that for me.  |
| 1                 |        | have to look at all those cases separately to   | 21       | ×             | correct?   |
| 0                 |        | detrimental to any specific client's case, we'd   | 20       | 0             | And there was a thousand medical payment,  |
| 9                 | ×      | not treating with a chiropractor was  | 19       | A.            | Well, there was a 45,000 settlement, correct? Okay.  |
| 8                 | 0      | Okay. And if we wanted to find out whether or   | 18       | A<br>Q        | Okay. How much did they recover then?  |
| 7                 | A      | I have no idea.   | 17       | A             |  |
| 6                 |        | MR. PATTAKOS: Objection.  | 16       |               | either, is it?   |
| 5                 |        | detrimental to your case, do you?   | 15       | Ž             | \$48,720 on your behalf. That's not accurate   |
| 4                 | ×      | treating with Dr. Floros or Akron Square was  | 14       | 0             | Okay. And then it says that KNR recovered  |
| 3                 | 0      | Now, you have no evidence whatsoever that   | 13       | A             | I can do that.   |
| 2                 | A      | Yes.  | 12       | V             | be truthful and accurate?  |
| 1                 |        | Did I read that correctly?  | 11       | 0             | Are you going to amend that and change that to   |
| 0                 |        | the chiropractic clinics."  | 10       | Δ             | Yes.   |
| 9                 |        | major insurance carriers against the owner of   | 9        |               | in this complaint that's put for the public to<br>see, you put you only received 12,000, true? |
| 8                 |        | clients' cases due to various fraud lawsuits by   | 8        |               |  |
| 7                 |        | chiropractors would be detrimental to their   | 7        |               | 3,000 off of it, but even if you took 3,000 of it, you would have received over 18,000, but    |
| 5<br>6            | ×      | that advising their clients to treat with the   | 6        | V             |  |
| <del>1</del><br>5 | 0      | Okay. Now, lower case viii, "Defendants knew  | 5        | 0             | And although I disagree with you saying to tal   |
| 3<br>4            | A      | I'm relying on my attorney.   | 3        | Q<br>A        | You received over \$21,000, true? Yeah.  |
|                   |        | on your attorney?   | 2        | 0             | yes.   |
| 2                 |        | "and other benefits" means or are you relying   |          | A             | Then I had to pay back that 3,000, but okay,   |
| 1                 |        | Page 290 exchange for referring cases," do you know what                                | 1        | A             | Page 2   |
|                   | ~      |   |          |               |  |
| 5                 | Q      | Okay. So when it says, "And other benefits in   | 25       | ×             | received over 21,000, true?  |
| 1                 | A      | I'm going on my attorney.   | 24       | 0             | Well, we already looked at you actually  |
| 3                 | ×      | kick-backs that KNR received, do you?   | 23       | A             | As you say.  |
| 2                 | 0      | Okay. But you don't have any evidence of any  | 22       | ¥             | it?  |
| 1                 | A.     | Yes.  | 21       | 0             | And as we talked about, that's not accurate,   |
| )                 | 0      | That's the way you read that you mean?  | 20       | A             | Yes.   |
| 9                 | Q<br>A | That's the way I see it.  | 18<br>19 |               | amount that KNR recovered on your behalf, correct?   |
| 8                 | _      | I'm sorry?  |          |               | that you only received \$12,349.70 of the total  |
| 7                 | A      | That's the only way I would see it.   | 17       | Q             | Okay. Now, in this paragraph, you are allegi   |
| 5<br>6            |        | cases to the chiropractors?   | 16       | 0             |  |
| 4<br>5            | Q      | Okay. So let me ask you this. You're saying that KNR received a kick-back for referring | 14       | Q<br><b>A</b> | On Page 22. Tell me when you're there.   |
| 3<br>4            | A      | Yeah, that would be.  | 13       | A             | On Dogo 22 Foll we taken would there   |
| 2                 | Q      | Yep.  | 12       | Q             | By the way, actually, go back to Paragraph 76  |
| 1                 | A      | Other benefits. Wait a minute.  | 11       | _             | flies.   |
| 0                 | Q      | Okay. And you're saying   | 10       |               | MR. MANNION: Wow, time   |
| 9                 | _      | the KNR.  | 9        |               | here, Tom.   |
| 8                 | A      | Okay. Well, oh the defendants, that would be  | 8        |               | MR. PATTAKOS: Seven minute:  |
| 7                 |        | kick-backs, not plaintiffs.   | 7        | Q             | Okay.  |
| 6                 | Q      | Okay. Well, this is defendants received the   | 6        | A             | True.  |
| 5                 | A      | It would be the five of us.   | 5        |               | case, true?  |
| 4                 |        | Which defendants received kick-backs?   | 4        |               | beneficial or detrimental to somebody else's   |
| 3                 |        | kick-backs."  | 3        |               | your case has nothing to do with whether it  |
|                   | ×      | Okay. Now, it says "Defendants received   | 2        |               | Akron Square was detrimental or beneficial to  |
| 2                 | 0      | Oleans New it were UD-forder to come in a   |          |               |  |

Pages 293-296

| 011      | 03/2  | 2016  |     |   | Pages 293–25   |
|----------|-------|---|-----|---|--|
| 1        |       | Page 293 it should be 46,500, true?             | 1   | A | Page 29<br>And how much they were going to get that day. |
| 2        | A     | Yes.  | 2   |   | -  |
| 3        | 0     |   | UI. | Q | Right.   |
| 1        | Õ     | Okay. Now, if you turn all the way to           | 3   | A | Okay.  |
| 4        |       | Paragraph 218, Page 53, Claim 10.               | 4   | Q | And it was   |
| 5        |       | Do you see Claim 10 is about Class D, the       | 5   | A | But it wasn't how much was going how much                |
| 6        |       | one that you're the class representative for?   | 6   |   | was brought down. That's not what you said.              |
| 7        | A     | Yes.  | 7   | Q | There was an amount of what the bill was versu           |
| 8        | Q     | If you turn to the next page then, under this   | 8   |   | the amount that actually was paid out of your            |
| 9        |       | claim, Paragraph 22.                            | 9   |   | settlement proceeds, and they paid less than             |
| 10       |       | MR. PATTAKOS: 222?                              | 10  |   | the total bills to Akron Square, correct?                |
| 11       |       | MR. MANNION: 222.                               | 11  | A | Okay. I understand this now, sir, but that's             |
| 12       | Q     | This reads "No KNR client would have agreed to  | 12  |   | not what you said at the beginning.                      |
| 13       |       | have the fee deducted from their settlement had | 13  | Q | That's fine. So do you understand that now               |
| 14       |       | they been advised of the quid pro quo           | 14  |   | though?  |
| 15       |       | relationship between KNR and the chiropractors  | 15  | A | Yes.   |
| 16       |       | and the true nature of the fee."                | 16  | Q | Can you look at Exhibit 5 real quick again.              |
| 17       |       | Did I read that correctly?                      | 17  | Ā | If I can find it.  |
| 18       | A     | Yes.  | 18  |   | MR. PATTAKOS: Which one is                               |
| 19       | 0     | Okay. Now, you don't know what any other KNR    | 19  |   | that, Tom?   |
| 20       | 7,365 | client would have done, do you?                 | 20  |   | Oh, the Settlement Memorandum.                           |
| 21       | A     | No.   | 21  | 2 |  |
| 22       |       |   |     | A | Oh, okay.  |
| 23       | Q     | You'd have to ask each and every one of them,   | 22  | Q | Tell me when you're there.                               |
|          |       | true?   | 23  | A | Okay.  |
| 24       | A     | Yes.  | 24  | Q | So if we look here at Akron Square, what do you          |
| 25       | Q     | And in fact, if you would have been told about  | 25  |   | see was the total amount billed that's in the            |
|          |       | Page 294  |     |   | Page 29  |
| 1        |       | the fact that there was a "working              | 1   |   | parenthesis there?                                       |
| 2        |       | relationship" between these two like you        | 2   | A | 5,025.   |
| 3        |       | thought they had, would you have objected to    | 3   | Q | And what was the amount that Akron Square                |
| 4        |       | the fee?  | 4   |   | accepted as full payment?                                |
| 5        | A     | Yes.  | 5   | A | 4,500.   |
| 6        | Q     | Why didn't you object to the fee then when you  | 6   | Q | Okay. And I believe you're now aware that's              |
| 7        |       | knew there was a working relationship, in your  | 7   |   | because KNR negotiated that bill down, correct?          |
| 8        |       | words, and you saw the \$150 fee on the         | 8   | A | I am now.  |
| 9        |       | dispersement?                                   | 9   | Q | Okay. Good.  |
| 10       | A     | Because I needed the money.                     | 10  | A | That's not what you said before.                         |
| 11       | Q     | Okay.   | 11  | Q | Well, I've obviously made a few mistakes in              |
| 12       | A     | I was homeless.                                 | 12  | ~ | this deposition  |
| 13       | 0     | Okay. Well, you'd already seen that KNR had     | 13  | A | Okay, sir, at least you                                  |
| 14       | ×     | negotiated to lower Akron Square's bill?        | 14  | Q |  |
| 15       | A     | I did not know that they were lowering it, and  | 15  | - | including numbering of exhibits.                         |
| 16       | -     |   |     | A | Yes, yes, yes, yes.                                      |
|          |       | at the beginning of this, you had said actually | 16  | Q | With your new understanding, ma'am                       |
| 17       |       | what was in the parenthesis was what they were  | 17  | A | Yes.   |
| 18       |       | getting and what was beside that was what they  | 18  | Q | you see that they saved you \$525 on that                |
| L9       |       | got that day.                                   | 19  |   | bill, correct?   |
| 20       | Q     | No, the other way around.                       | 20  | A | Yes.   |
| 21       | A     | Okay. Well, but it was the other way around.    | 21  | Q | And KNR doesn't set Akron Square's costs for             |
| 22       |       | Okay, I'm sorry, but you said what they were    | 22  |   | chiropractic treatment, do they?                         |
| 12       |       | getting and what they were getting that day.    | 23  | A | No.  |
| 2.5      |       |   | ~ 4 | ^ |  |
| 23<br>24 | Q     | I talked about how much was charged, what the   | 24  | Q | So now that you know they saved you \$525 on             |

Pages 297-300

```
Page 297
                                                                                                                           Page 299
   7
            the $150 report that you think was only worth
                                                                    1
                                                                                        THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.
   2
                                                                    2
                                                                        The time is 6:33.
            Well, yeah because it wasn't even worth 85, to
   3
                                                                    3
   4
            be honest with you.
                                                                    4
                                                                           (Deposition was concluded at 6:33 p.m.)
            Okay. So you'd rather have just paid the whole
   5
                                                                    5
   6
            5,025?
                                                                    6
                                                                                      (Signature reserved.)
   7
            I'd rather not even pay that.
       Α
                                                                    7
   8
           Well, I mean Akron Square had a right to be
                                                                    Я
   9
           paid for the treatment they gave you --
                                                                    g
  10
       A
           Yes --
                                                                  10
  11
       0
           -- don't they?
                                                                  11
           -- they had a right to be paid for the
  12
       Α
                                                                  12
  13
           treatment and that was costly treatment.
                                                                  13
  14
       0
           Okay. And you're not alleging that the cost of
                                                                  14
 15
           that treatment was improper, are you?
                                                                  15
 16
                           MR. PATTAKOS:
                                               Objection.
                                                                  16
 17
       Α
           No.
                                                                  17
 18
                           MR. MANNION:
                                                Basis?
                                                                  18
 19
                           MR. PATTAKOS:
                                                Form.
                                                                  19
           Are you alleging in any way that Akron Square's
 20
                                                                  20
 21
           bills to you, the $5,025 for the treatment that
 22
           you received there was fraudulent or incorrect
 23
           in any way?
                                                                  23
 24
           No, just costly.
                                                                  24
 25
      0
           Well --
                                                                  25
                                                        Page 298
                                                                                                                         Page 300
  1
      Α
           I get they're costly.
                                                                      THE STATE OF OHIO.
  2
           Well, how much was it a visit?
                                                                      COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA. )
  3
      Α
           I don't know how much it was a visit.
                                                                  3
  4
      0
           How many visits did you have?
                                                                           I, Margaret A. Trombetta, a Notary Public
  5
      A
           I don't even remember.
                                                                      within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned
          Have you called other chiropractors to see what
                                                                      and qualified, do hereby certify that THERA REID,
  6
  7
           they charge?
                                                                      was first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole
  8
      Α
          No, but I get they're expensive.
                                                                      truth and nothing but the truth in the cause
  9
          Okay. And in fact, they had to forego getting
                                                                      aforesaid; that the testimony then given by her was
          paid for --
10
                                                                 10
                                                                     by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said
          A few visits, yes, I understand that. Quite a
11
                                                                 11
                                                                     witness, afterwards transcribed on a
12
          while actually.
                                                                 12
                                                                     computer/printer, and that the foregoing is a true
13
          Exactly, which is money they could have had in
                                                                 13
                                                                     and correct transcript of the testimony so given by
14
          their business?
                                                                 14
                                                                     her as aforesaid.
15
          I understand that.
                                                                 15
     Α
                                                                          I do further certify that this deposition was
          Okay. You're certainly grateful to Akron
                                                                     taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption
16
17
          Square for reducing their bill by $525, aren't
                                                                 17
                                                                     specified. I do further certify that I am not a
18
          you?
                                                                 18
                                                                     relative, counsel or attorney of either party, or
                                                                     otherwise interested in the event of this action.
19
          Yes.
                                                                 19
20
                                                                 20
                                                                         IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
          Okay.
21
                                                                 21
                                                                     and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on
                         MR. MANNION:
                                              Do you want to
22
                                                                     this 16th day of July, 2018.
                                                                 22
          recess for now?
23
                         MR. PATTAKOS:
                                                                23
                                                                                  _signature
                                              Yes, sir.
24
                                                                24
                         MR. MANNION:
                                                                                  Margaret A. Trombetta, Notary Public
                                             Okav.
25
                         MR. PATTAKOS:
                                                                25
                                                                                  within and for the State of Ohio
                                             Thank you.
```

EXTO

# EXHIBIT J

### NORRIS, MONIQUE 01/28/2019

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Pages 1-4

|   |  |  |   | Pages 1- |
|---|--|--|---|----------|
| 1   | Page I   | 1  | For Defendant Rob A. Nestico, Esq.:             | Page     |
| 2   | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SUMMIT  | 2  | DAVID M. BEST CO., LPA                          |          |
| 3   |  | 3  | DAVID M. BEST, ESQ.                             |          |
| 4   | MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.,   | 4  | 4900 West Bath Road                             |          |
| 5   | Plaintiffs,  | 5  | Akron, Ohio 44333                               |          |
| 6   | vs. JUDGE JAMES A. BROGAN  | 6  | (330) 665-1855                                  |          |
| 7   | CASE NO. CV-2016-09-3928   | 7  | dmb@dmbestlaw.com                               |          |
| 8   |  | 8  | ambambebetaw.com                                |          |
| 9   | KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK  | 9  | For the Defendant Robert W. Redick, Esq.:       |          |
| 10  | LLC, et al.,   | 10   | WEISMAN, KENNEDY & BERRIS CO., LPA              |          |
| 11  | Defendants.  | 11   | DANIEL P. GOETZ, ESQ.                           |          |
| 12  |  | 12   | 1600 Midland Building                           |          |
| 13  | VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MONIQUE NORRIS  | 13   | 101 West Prospect Avenue                        |          |
| 14  | MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019   | 14   | Cleveland, Ohio 44115                           |          |
| 15  | 9:52 A.M.  | 15   |   |          |
|   |  |  | (216) 781-1111                                  |          |
| 16  | DoubleTree by Hilton Hotel   | 16   | dgoetz@weismanlaw.com                           |          |
| 17  | 3150 West Market Street  | 17   |   |          |
| 18  | Fairlawn, Ohio   | 18   | For the Defendant Sam N. Ghoubrial, M.D.:       |          |
| 19  |  | 19   | LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP             |          |
| 20  |  | 20   | BRADLEY J. BARMEN, ESQ.                         |          |
| 21  | REPORTED BY:   | 21   | 1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250                |          |
| 22  | Sarah R. Drown   | 22   | Cleveland, Ohio 44114                           |          |
| 23  |  | 23   | (216) 344-9422                                  |          |
| 24  |  | 24   | brad.barmen@lewisbrisbois.com                   |          |
| 25  |  | 25   |   |          |
|   | Page 2   | -  |   | Page 4   |
| 1   | APPEARANCES:   |  | NY 00   |          |
|   | ALL BRIGHTON.  | 1  | ALSO PRESENT:                                   |          |
| 2   |  | 2  |   |          |
| 3   | For Plaintiffs:  | 2  | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER                      |          |
| 3   | For Plaintiffs: PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  | 2<br>3<br>4  | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. |          |
| 3<br>4<br>5   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER                      |          |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. |          |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. |          |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>11<br>12   | FOR Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, BSQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14                                     | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14                                     | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114  (216) 344-9422   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                               | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Chent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114  (216) 344-9422  tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                               | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>111<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18            | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 344-9422  tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com  - and -   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                         | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20 | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 344-9422  tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com  - and -  SUTTER O'CONNELL CO.   | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                   | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20 | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114  (216) 344-9422  tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com  - and -  SUTTER O'CONNELL CO.  JAMES M. POPSON, ESQ.  | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20       | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21   | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333  (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114  (216) 344-9422  tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com  - and -  SUTTER O'CONNELL CO.  JAMES M. POPSON, ESQ.  3600 Erieview Tower                     | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | ×        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | For Plaintiffs:  PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC  PETER PATTAKOS, ESQ.  101 Ghent Road  Fairlawn, Ohio 44333 (330) 836-8533  peter@pattakoslaw.com  For Defendant Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC:  LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  THOMAS P. MANNION, ESQ.  1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250  Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 344-9422  tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com  - and -  SUTTER O'CONNELL CO.  JAMES M. POPSON, ESQ.  3600 Brieview Tower  1301 East 9th Street | 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | IVAN BERCIAN, VIDEOGRAPHER ROB A. NESTICO, ESQ. | *        |

MIdeps@uslegalsupport.com Ann Arbor | Detroit | Flint | Jackson

U. S. LEGAL SUPPORT Bingham Farms/Southfield | Grand Rapids Phone: 888.644.8080 Lansing | Mt. Clemens | Saginaw | Troy

### NORRIS, MONIQUE 01/28/2019

Pages 149-152

| 01/2 | 20/2 | 019  |  |    | Pages 149–152  |
|------|------|--|--|----|--|
| 1    | Q    | Page 1<br>Okay.                                | 49<br>1  | DV | Page 151   |
| 2    | A    | I don't feel                                   | 2  |    | We were talking we got on this whole line of                                   |
| 3    | 0    | So then how were you damaged by him asking you | THE STATE OF THE S | ~  | questioning talking about what your  |
| 4    | v    | if you wanted something?                       | 4  |    | understanding is of the narrative fees.  |
| 5    | A    | Because I felt offended.                       | 5  | A  | Yes.   |
| 6    | ō    | I didn't see that in the lawsuit.              | 6  | 0  | And you were saying that they're only worth                                    |
| 7    | ×    | Does that have anything to do with this        | 7  | Q  | about \$50 and they charge 150 to 200 for them,                                |
| 8    |      | lawsuit?                                       | 8  |    | therefore, what, that that money in between, it                                |
| 9    |      | MR. PATTAKOS: Objection.                       | 9  |    | goes to KNR or what? What's the I'm trying                                     |
| 10   |      | Tom, come on.                                  | 10   |    | to understand what the claim is.   |
| 11   | A    | What do you mean does it have anything to do   | 11   | A  | What do you mean?  |
| 12   | A    | with this lawsuit?                             | 12   | 0  | They're overcharging for the report? What are                                  |
| 13   | Q    | With these claims. I'm trying to ask you       | 13   | Q  | you  |
| 14   | A    | No. So those specific claims, no.              | 14   | A  | Yes, I feel like they're overcharging for the                                  |
| 15   | n    | MR. PATTAKOS: You asked her                    | 15   |    | report.  |
| 16   |      | when she was beginning to feel uncomfortable,  | 16   | Q  | Okay. So the chiropractors are overcharging                                    |
| 17   |      | Tom, and that's when she was talking about     | 17   | V  | for this expert report that the law firm uses                                  |
| 18   |      | that. We can go back and review the            | 18   |    | to give to the insurance company?  |
| 19   |      | transcript, but                                | 19   | A  | Yes.   |
| 20   |      | MR. MANNION: Stop with the                     | 20   | o. | Okay. And you don't know what expert reports                                   |
| 21   |      | speaking objections, Peter.                    | 21   | Q  | cost out in our industry?  |
| 22   |      | MR. PATTAKOS: Tom, I don't                     | 22   | A  | No, I do not.  |
| 23   |      | think  | 23   | 0  | Then why do you think they're overcharging for                                 |
| 24   |      | MR. MANNION: Stop.                             | 24   | ×  | it if you don't know how much they cost in the                                 |
| 25   |      | MR. PATTAKOS: you're in a                      |  |    | industry?  |
|      |      |  |  |    |  |
| 1    | nos  | Page 1:<br>ition to lecture me                 | 50   1   | A  | Page 152   |
| 2    | pos  | MR. MANNION: Stop.                             | 2  | 0  | What do you mean why do I think that?  |
| 3    |      | MR. PATTAKOS: about                            | 3  | Q  | Yeah. Why do you think it's too much money for                                 |
| 4    | gne  | aking objections at all. So, you know,         | 4  |    | this report when you don't know what a report like this costs in the industry? |
| 5    | _    | re being argumentative                         | 5  | A  | Because I don't feel it's just a piece of                                      |
| 6    | you  | MR. MANNION: Stop now.                         | 6  | -  | paper. I don't feel like they need to  |
| 7    |      | MR. PATTAKOS: with the                         | 7  |    | they're basically putting down what they feel                                  |
| 8    | wit  | ness. So I think it's warranted to point       | 8  |    | or think in a small summary. I don't think                                     |
| 9    | out  | <del>-</del>                                   | 9  |    | that's takes a rock scientist, to debate or                                    |
| 10   | out  | MR. MANNION: Just stop.                        | 10   |    | come up with oh, well, let me decide, uh, we're                                |
| 11   |      | MR. PATTAKOS: that when                        | 11   |    | going to come up with a page or two of a                                       |
| 12   | she  | was talking about the drug dealers in the      | 12   |    | summary. It's just what their thoughts are.                                    |
| 13   |      | king lot                                       | 13   | Q  | Okay.  |
| 14   | F    | MR. MANNION: Here we go.                       | 14   | A  | It's not like they're cutting and pasting and                                  |
| 15   |      | MR. PATTAKOS: it was in                        | 15   |    | putting copy in exact facts. They're not going                                 |
| 16   | res  | conse to you saying asking her when she        | 16   |    | through all of the pages. They're skimming                                     |
| 17   |      | feeling uncomfortable about the firm and       | 17   |    | through them.  |
| 18   |      | . So let's just be clear and stop              | 18   | 0  | How do you know they're skimming through them?                                 |
| 19   | _    | ing  | 19   | A  | Because that's what usually happens.   |
| 20   |      | MR. MANNION: That was a long                   | 20   | 0  | How do you know it happened, though?   |
| 21   | time | e ago  | 21   | A  | I don't know if they did that. But, like, when                                 |
| 22   |      | MR. PATTAKOS with my                           | 22   |    | you're at the hospital or the doctor's, they                                   |
| 23   | witr | ness.  | 23   |    | sit there and they're like, "Oh. Well, um,                                     |
| 24   |      | MR. MANNION: about the                         | 24   |    | okay. So I see this here." Or "I see that                                      |
| 25   | firm | n, being uncomfortable.                        | 25   |    | there." And then, "Um, okay. So this   |
|      |      | ·  |  |    |  |

### NORRIS, MONIQUE 01/28/2019

Pages 153-156

| 01/. | 28/2 | 019   |    |   | Pages 153–15  |
|------|------|---|----|---|---|
| 1    |      | Page 153<br>happened." That's usually what happens at the | 1  |   | Page 15:<br>or terminology or do any of that stuff, would |
| 2    |      | doctor's office.  |    |   |   |
| 3    | 0    |   | 2  | _ | you want that as well?                                    |
|      | Q    | But you don't know that that happened in this             | 3  | Q | I have no idea what you're talking about,                 |
| 4    |      | case, do you?   | 4  |   | ma'am. But I think we get way off base here               |
| 5    | A    | No, I don't know exactly that happened and I              | 5  |   | when we're talking about the values and I'm               |
| 6    | _    | said that.  | 6  | _ | asking you  |
| 7    | Q    | In fact, they might have spent a lot of time              | 7  | A | You're basically asking me and getting upset              |
| 8    |      | reviewing those records and making sure that              | 8  |   | because I feel that it should only cost \$50              |
| 9    |      | they extracted the information that was                   | 9  | Q | No, I'm okay with it.                                     |
| 10   |      | necessary to proceed with your case and give it           | 10 | A | whereas someone else may feel that way.                   |
| 11   | _    | to an insurance company, correct?                         | 11 | Q | That's okay. You think it should cost 50. I'm             |
| 12   | A    | They could have.  | 12 |   | saying people have differences on values.                 |
| 13   | Q    | Wouldn't that change your opinion as to the               | 13 |   | Other than the fact that your                             |
| 14   |      | value of the case, if they did that?                      | 14 |   | evaluation of this report and how much it's               |
| 15   | A    | No.   | 15 |   | worth and the actual amount that they charged,            |
| 16   | Q    | It's still worth 50?                                      | 16 |   | other than that, why do you think it was wrong?           |
| 17   | A    | Yes.  | 17 | A | That's nothing.   |
| 18   | Q    | So you can skim the records and put information           | 18 | Q | I mean what if you find out that \$200 is a               |
| 19   |      | down, or you can pore through them and make               | 19 |   | reasonable charge for a report like this?                 |
| 20   |      | sure there's good information, going over it,             | 20 | A | I would think that is outrageous and I would              |
| 21   |      | and in both cases it's just worth 50 bucks?               | 21 |   | have just said "Just look through the papers."            |
| 22   | A    | Yes. It has to be.  | 22 | Q | Okay. Well, you might think it's outrageous,              |
| 23   | Q    | Okay. It has to be.                                       | 23 |   | but if it's a reasonable charge for a report              |
| 24   |      | Then what's your claim other than if                      | 24 |   | like this, what are they doing wrong?                     |
| 25   |      | they're overcharging? Why are they                        | 25 | A | What do you mean "What are they doing wrong"?             |
|      |      | Page 154  |    |   | Page 156  |
| 1    |      | overcharging? What's the claim?                           | 1  | Q | Yeah. What are you claiming they're doing                 |
| 2    | A    | I'm not understanding what you're saying.                 | 2  |   | wrong?  |
| 3    | Q    | Okay. So you think you paid too much for this             | 3  | A | I just told you.  |
| 4    |      | report. People have differences of opinion on             | 4  | Q | Overcharging. That's it?                                  |
| 5    |      | values of things all the time.                            | 5  | A | Yeah. They're getting over people that don't              |
| 6    |      | What's wrong with the fact that they                      | 6  |   | know what's going on. I'm sorry if I don't                |
| 7    |      | charged you this, other than you think it cost            | 7  |   | understand exactly what you're saying. I just             |
| 8    |      | too much, it was overpriced?                              | 8  |   | know what they did is wrong.                              |
| 9    | A    | What do you mean what's wrong with it?                    | 9  | Q | Wait. They're putting one over on people that             |
| 10   | Q    | Yeah. I mean why are you suing over it? Just              | 10 |   | don't know what's going on? Is that what you              |
| 11   |      | because you think it was too much?                        | 11 |   | said?   |
| 12   | A    | Yeah, and because it's not it's not right.                | 12 | A | No, because what they're doing is they're                 |
| 13   | Q    | Why isn't it right?                                       | 13 |   | overcharging people. They're charging people              |
| 14   | A    | Would you want someone to charge you that?                | 14 |   | for services that they're not even rendering to           |
| 15   | Q    | For an expert report? I would love it if I                | 15 |   | the people. And   |
| 16   |      | could get expert reports for \$200. Are you               | 16 | Q | Wait. Wait.   |
| 17   |      | kidding me? This is what I do for a living.               | 17 | A | how come if that's the case and they're                   |
| 18   |      | We're lawyers.  | 18 | Q | Ma'am.  |
| 19   | A    | If you weren't doing that for a living.                   | 19 | A | charging you  |
| 20   | Q    | Yeah, if my lawyer could get an expert report             | 20 | Q | We'll get into that issue.                                |
| 21   |      | for me for \$200 and I was his client, I would            | 21 | A | If they're charging me so much for this piece             |
| 22   |      | be overjoyed at it.                                       | 22 |   | of paper, this document, how come they couldn't           |
| 23   | A    | And you didn't understand what was going on.              | 23 |   | give it to me when I asked for it?                        |
| 24   | Q    | What do you mean didn't understand?                       | 24 | Q | Let's go back. We were talking about the                  |
|      |      |   |    |   |   |
| 25   | A    | If you didn't understand the way that they work           | 25 |   | report. We can talk about the services later.             |



#### KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 3412 West Market Street Akron, OH 44333 Fax: (330) 869-9008

April 22, 2016

Thera Reid 629 Hudson Ave. Akron, OH 44306

RE:

Your Personal Injury Claim Date of Loss: 4/20/2016

Dear Ms. Reid:

Thank you for your trust and confidence in allowing Kisling, Nestico & Redick to represent you for your personal injury claim. Please find enclosed a copy of the Contingency Fee Agreement for your records. The purpose of this letter is to explain to you how we expect your case will proceed from this point forward.

First and most importantly, our support staff is committed to responding to your questions and phone calls as promptly as we can. Most of the time we are available to speak to you personally, however, our assistants are also very familiar with your case and can often answer your questions or help resolve any issues you may have concerning your case.

As you are aware, insurance companies and their claims processes often times do not move as quickly as we would like. Insurance companies will not finalize or settle a claim until they receive a full and final release signed by you. Clients often ask us when their case will be settled. Your case will be ready to settle when your treating doctors can tell us in a report what kind of recovery you have made and if you will have any ongoing disability, and, if so, how that disability will affect your ability to function in the future. In most cases, we will advise a client that they are not in a position to sign a final release and settle their claim until they have reached full recovery and all of their medical information has been thoroughly reviewed. Please note that insurance companies do not make partial payments before final settlement.

Further, you should be aware that most doctors and hospitals do not send your requested medical information as quickly as we would like. Since it is understood that the primary function of a hospital or doctor's office is to treat the needs of their patients, often, medical records, reports and bills from some places take time to obtain.

Rest assured that we will do everything necessary to keep your claim moving forward without interruption. Please keep us updated on the progress of your medical treatment as we will be requesting your medical information shortly. Finally, please always remember not to discuss your case with anyone except our office.

Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Very truly yours,

**KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK** 

Matthew Walker Attorney at Law

MJW/md

AKRON

CANTON

CINCINNATI

CLEVELAND

**COLUMBUS** 

DAYTON

TOLEDO

Youngstown

www.knrlegal.com 1-800-HURT-NOW Outside Ohio: 800-978-9007



ento

#### Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC Attorneys at Law

#### **CONTINGENCY FEE AGREEMENT**

| & Redick, LLC, hereinafter called Attorneys, to represent MUSELF for all purposes in  |
|---|
| connection with clients injuries and damages arising out of an incident which occurred on the 29 day of UIY 13 in Summit County, Ohio, on the following conditions:   |
| 1) Attorneys will devote their full professional abilities to Clients case and Client agrees to fully cooperate with  |
| Attorneys. In the event of an appeal, an additional agreement for services shall be made by the parties hereto. No appeal will be made without both parties agreeing thereto. I understand that my case may be handled by any one or more of the members of the firm of Kisling, Nestloo & Redick, LLC and different members may handle the case at different times. Client understands and agrees that Attorneys are not representing Client for any Workers Compensation, medical malpractice, disability, or employment related claims arising from this incident, injuries or damages, unless separate written contingency fee agreements have been signed for such claims. |
| 2) The Attorneys shall receive as a fee for their services, one-third (1/3) of the total gross amount of recovery of any and all amounts recovered, and Client hereby assigns said amount to Attorneys and authorizes Attorneys to deduct said amount from the proceeds recovered. Attorney shall have a charging lien upon the proceeds of any insurance proceeds, settlement, judgment, verdict award or property obtained on your behalf. IN THE EVENT OF NO RECOVERY, CLIENT SHALL OWE ATTORNEYS NOTHING FOR SERVICES RENDERED.   |
| 3) Client agrees and authorizes Attorneys to deduct, from any proceeds recovered, any expenses which may have been advanced by Attorneys in preparation for settlement and/or trial of Clients case. IN THE EVENT OF NO RECOVERY, CLIENT SHALL OWE ATTORNEYS NOTHING FOR SUCH ADVANCED EXPENSES.  |
| Client authorizes and directs Attorneys to deduct from Clients share of proceeds and pay, directly to any doctor, hospital, expert or other medical creditor, any unpaid balance due them for Clients care and treatment.   |
| 4) Client agrees that Attorneys have made no promises or guarantees regarding the outcome of Clients claim. Client understands Attorneys will investigate Clients claim and then Attorneys shall have the right to withdraw from representation.  |
| Signed this 30 day of July , 8013   |
| CLIENT MORIQUE MOCKIS)  |
| ATTORNEY  |



KNR004320

Kisiing, Nastico & Redick, LLC Allomeys of Low

#### CONTROGRACY FEE AGREEMENT

| Thong  | Pard   |
|--|--|
| & Redick, L  | C, herehalter called Attorneys, to represent MVSVF for all autopros to   |
|  | with clients injuries and damagns arising out of an isoldest which occurred on the day   |
| - Apri   | OBV in Starrit County, Ohio, on the following conditions:  |
| appeal will to more of it at different Compensate demages, u | mays will davote their full professional abilities to Clients case and Client agrees to fully cooperate with the event of an appeal, an additional agreement for pervices shall be made by the parties hereto. No a made without both parties agreeing fluoreto. I understand that my case may be handled by any one to manbers of the time of Kisting, Neatico & Radick, LLC and different members may handle time case times. Client understands and agrees that Attorneys are not representing Client for any Workers on, medical malpractics, disability, or employment related deline arising from this incident, injuries or these separate written confingency tee agreements have been eigned for such claims. |
| dedictionseld<br>Insurance pho<br>NO RECOVE                  | Atterneys shell receive as a few for their services, one-third (1/3) of the total gress amount of recovery<br>I amounts recovered, and Client hereby assigns seld amount to Attorneys and authorizes Attorneys to<br>amount from the proceeds recovered. Attorney shall have a charging ten upon the proceeds of any<br>proceds, self-intent, judgment, vertical award or property obtained on your behalf. IN THE EVENT OF<br>ERY, CLIENT SHALL OWE ATTORNEYS NOTHING FOR SERVICES RENDERED.  |
| RECOVERY.  | agrees and authorizes Altomeys to deduct, from any preceds recovered, say expenses which may be alto a subject of the case. By the Event of No CLIENT SHALL OWE ATTORNEYS NOTHING FOR SUCH ADVANCED EXPENSES.  |
| Client<br>doctor, hospit                                     | eulisorizes and directs Atlameys to beduct from Clients share of proceeds and pay, directly to any<br>al, expert or other madical creditor, any unpaid balance due them for Clients care and treatment.  |
| Client :     Client :     Client underst     representation  | agrees that Altorneys have made no cromises or guarantees regarding the oulcome of Clients claim.<br>lands Altorneys will investigate Clients claim and then Altorneys shall have the right to withdraw from<br>n,   |
| Signed this  | 20 any or April 2016   |
|  | CLIENT RELATIONNEY   |
| 100 ALLA   | ,  |



EXTO

## **EXHIBIT M**

CV-2016-09-3928

#### PATIENT ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I confirm I was contacted by telephone, on one or more occasions, by one or more persons who I understood to be representatives of Akron Square Chiropractic regarding the availability of a chiropractic consultation and spinal screening examination.

I WAS TOLD IN THE VERY FIRST SUCH TELEPHONE CONVERSATION (AND IN EACH CONVERSATION THEREAFTER) THAT THE CALLER WORKED FOR THIS HEALTH CARE FACILITY AND DR M FLOROS, DC. AND THAT THE CALL(S) HAD NO RELATION TO, AND NOTHING WHATSOEVER TO DO WITH, MY INSURANCE COMPANY, OR THE OTHER DRIVER'S INSURANCE COMPANY OR ANY INSURANCE COMPANY, OR ANY POLICE DEPARTMENT, OR ANY GOVERNMENT AGENCY, HOSPITAL, OR OTHER SERVICE OR ENTITY.

NO PERSON WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF OR HERSELF AS BEING EMPLOYED BY OR AFFILIATED WITH ANY INSURANCE COMPANY, GOVERNMENT AGENCY, POLICE DEPARTMENT OR HOSPITAL HAS EVER ADVISED ME OR SUGGESTED TO ME THAT I VISIT OR SEEK TREATMENT FROM AKRON SQUARE CHIROPRACTIC.

The caller(s) told me that the chiropractic consultation and 10 point spinal screening examination were offered without any obligation to accept the appointment and at no cost to any insurance company or me.

I was not pressured to set an appointment by the caller(s), and decided to make an appointment and go to the chiropractor solely out of concern for my own health and well being, after my recent accident.

I acknowledge that the consultation and 10 point screening examination were offered without obligation to become a patient of Akron Square Chiropractic, or to receive treatment from Akron Square Chiropractic.

I attest that these statements are true and a complete recollection of my recent telephone conversation(s).

I, the patient named below, attest that the employee named read the statement above aloud and in full to

Date: 41-22-16

Name (Signature):

Printed Name: Thera Reis





## **EXHIBIT N**

**EXTO** 



Tranch Office • 4690 Munson Street, N.W • Canten, Ohio 44718 • 330, 423, 1925 • Toll free 1 800, 362, 6641 Jell Address: R.O. Box 9031 • Canton, Ohio 44711-9031 • Fax 330, 433, 6447 • www.erieinsuranos.com

February 21, 2012

Attorney Paul Steele, III 3412 West Market St. Akron, OH 44333

Re:

ERIE Claim #:

010710319557

ERIE Insured:

Matthew Johnson

Date of Loss:

01-13-12

Your Client:

Matthew Johnson

Dear Atty Steele,

We acknowledge receipt of your letter stating that your office represents the above client.

I am the adjuster handling the medical payments portion of the claim under the Erie Auto Policy. Please send copies of your client's medical bills, receipts and records/reports so that this case may be evaluated. Please provide written instructions for payment of any medical bills submitted.

Enclosed please find a medical authorization form which I ask that you have your client complete and return to my office. Also enclosed please find a copy of the Declarations Page of the Erie Auto Policy for your review. If you still require a certified copy of the policy, please advise me.

I have enclosed a copy of the checks issued under the Medical Payments coverage prior to your representation of this client.

Please contact me to discuss this file.

Sincerely,

Catherine J. D'Aurelio

Medical Management Specialist

888-479-6007

## **EXHIBIT O**



PO Box 78 Buffalo NY, 14240

800-952-5246 Ext 3104

08/10/2011

Kisling, Nestico & Reick, LLC 3412 W. Market Street Akron, OH 44333

Insured

: TALJUAN CARTER

Claimant

: TALJUAN CARTER

Claim Number: PA063029 01

Date of Loss

: 4/16/11

Dear Mr. Zerrusen:

Please find attached multiple checks totalling \$2000.00 paid to Akron Square Chiropractic. In addition the corresponding explanation of reviews for each date of service.

This does now exhaust the claimant's medical payments coverage on policy #PAPI051310 for the above motor vehicle accident of 04/16/2011,

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contat me.

Very truly yours,

BARBARA MILNE CLAIM REPRESENTATIVE 800-952-5246

# EXHIBIT P

NFIL EXTO Page 183 of 230 Page 39 of 48

260443 / Thera Reid

# Settlement Memorandum

### Recovery:

| REC | Allstate Insurance Companies* | \$ 45,500.00 |
|-----|-------------------------------|--------------|
| PSF | Oasis Legal Finance           | \$ 3,220.00  |
| 1   | •                             | \$ 49,720.00 |

# DEDUCT AND RETAIN TO PAY:

Kisling, Nestico & Redick

| Floros, Dr. Mines                | \$ 159.00 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| chartswap#1211588                | \$ 53.18  |
| MRS Investigations, Inc.         | \$ 50.00  |
| Summa Health System              | \$ 107.12 |
| Clearwater Billing Services, LLC | \$ 50.00  |
| Total Due                        | \$ 410.30 |

## DEDUCT AND RETAIN TO PAY TO OTHERS:

| Kişling, Nestico & Redick               | (\$15,1865.65) | \$ 14,000.00 |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| Ohio Tort Recovery Unit                 |                | \$ 9,000.00  |
| Oasis Legal Finance                     |                | \$ 5,096.00  |
| Akron Square Chiropractic               | (\$5,025.00)   | \$ 4,500.00  |
| Clearwater Billing Services, LLC        | (\$3,460.00)   | \$ 3,000.00  |
| National Diagnostic Imaging Consultants | •              | \$ 200.00    |
| North Star Orthopedic Group             |                | \$ 164.00    |
| Total Due Others                        |                | \$ 35,960.00 |

| Total Deductions               | \$ 36,370.30 |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Total Amount Due to Client     | \$ 12,349.70 |
| Less Previously Pald to Client | \$ 3,220.00  |
| Not Amount Due to Client       | \$ 9.129.70  |

I hereby approve the above sattlement and distribution of proceeds. I have reviewed the above information and attorney's fees with Kisling, Nestico & Redick. I acknowledge that it accurately reflects all costs, including but not limited to, the investigation fee, and all outstanding expenses associated with my injury claim. I further understand that the itemized bills listed above will be deducted and paid from the gross amount of my settlement except as otherwise indicated. If any amount was withheld from the settlement for potential subrogation interests, any balance due after the subrogation interest is satisfied may be subject to Attorney Fees not to exceed the contractually agreed amount. Finally, I understand that any bills not listed above, including but not limited to Health Insurance or Medical Payments Subrogation and/or those initiated by me to indicate that they are not being paid from the settlement are my responsibility and not the responsibility of Kisling, Nestico & Redick.

Date: 1-910-17

Name Unuxa Koull

Firm:

Kisling, Nestico & Redick

**EXHIBIT** 

KNR02195

232154 / Monique Norris

#### Settlement Memorandum

| R | е | C | 0 | ν | е | r | ٧. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |

| REC | Motorists Mutual Insurance Company | \$ 250.00        |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------------|
| MP  | Motorists Insurance Group          | \$ 1,000.00      |
| REC | Nationwide Insurance*              | \$ 4,982.55      |
| REC | Liberty Capital Funding LLC        | \$ <u>500.00</u> |
|     |                                    | \$ 6,732.55      |

# DEDUCT AND RETAIN TO PAY:

| Kisling, | Nestico | 8 | Redick, | LLC |
|----------|---------|---|---------|-----|
|----------|---------|---|---------|-----|

| Akron General Medical Center           | \$ 6.00         |
|--|-----------------|
| Clearwater Billing Services, LLC       | \$ 50.00        |
| First Healthcare                       | \$ 12.00        |
| Floros, Dr. Minas                      | \$ 200.00       |
| Mercy Health Partners                  | \$ 15.00        |
| MRS Investigations, Inc.               | \$ 50.00        |
| Professional Receivables Control, Inc. | \$ 16.00        |
| Akron General Medical Center           | \$ <u>40.89</u> |
| Total Due                              | \$ 389.89       |

# **DEDUCT AND RETAIN TO PAY TO OTHERS:**

| Akron Square Chiropractic               | \$ 500.00                |
|---|--------------------------|
| Clearwater Billing Services, LLC        | \$ 600.00                |
| CNS Center for Neuro and Spine          | \$ 260.00                |
| Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC          | (\$2,077.51) \$ 1,750.00 |
| Liberty Capital Funding LLC             | \$ 800.00                |
| National Diagnostic Imaging Consultants | \$ 80.00                 |
| Ohio Tort Recovery Unit*                | \$ <u>506.75</u>         |
| Total Due Others                        | \$ A AOR 75              |

| Total Deductions               | \$ 4,886.64 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Total Amount Due to Client     | \$ 1,845.91 |
| Less Previously Paid to Client | \$ 1,500.00 |
| Net Amount Due to Client       | \$ 345.91   |

I hereby approve the above settlement and distribution of proceeds. I have reviewed the above information and I acknowledge that it accurately reflects all outstanding expenses associated with my injury claim. I further understand that the itemized bills listed above will be deducted and paid from the gross amount of my settlement except as otherwise indicated. Finally, I understand that any bills not listed above, including but not limited to Health Insurance or Medical Payments Subrogation and/or those initialed by me to indicate that they are not being paid from the settlement are my responsibility and not the responsibility of Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC.

Date:

5/25/14

Name

Firm:

- 7

INIOIN

Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC

EXHIBIT #

KNR004235

# EXHIBIT Q

The State of Ohio, )

County of Summit. ) SS:

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Member Williams, et al.,

Plaintiffs;

VS.

No. CV-2016-09-3928 Judge James Brogan

Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Videotaped deposition of ROBERT PAUL HORTON, one of the Defendants herein, taken before Mary Lou Mellinger, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, at the offices of Thomas A. Skidmore Co., L.P.A., One Cascade Plaza, 12th Floor, PNC Center Building, Akron, Ohio, commencing at 9:09 A.M., Monday, February 25, 2019, pursuant to notice of counsel.

> Magna Legal Services 866-624-6221 www.MagnaLS.com



CV-2016-09-3928

|          |        | Page 102  | Т        |        | Page 102  |
|----------|--------|---|----------|--------|---|
|          |        | _   |          |        | Page 103  |
| 1        | A      |   | 1        | Q      | 2   |
| 2        | Q      |   | 2        | A      | 1   |
| 3        | A      |   | 3        | Q      | •   |
| 4        | Q      |   | 4        | A      |   |
| 5        |        | interests at heart, don't you?  | 5        | Q      | ,   |
| 6        | A      |   | 6        | Α      |   |
| 7        | Q      | • • •   | 7        | _      | they're treating, yes.                                |
| 8        |        | you see number five, Attorney Lynett testifies, "Many   |          | Q      | •   |
| 9        |        | of my clients do not have personal medical insurance,   |          | A      | And to get them the treatment.                        |
| 10       |        | or the personal means that would allow them access to   |          | Q      | If you look at number eight on the next page and      |
| 11       | A      | the care they needed without my help," fair?  | 11       |        | you could feel free to read any of them.              |
| 12       | A      |   | 12       | A      | Yeah, I've never seen this.                           |
| 13       | Q      | ,   | 13       | Q      | After you read  |
| 14       | ٨      | at now and when you were at KNR, true?  | 14       | A      |   |
| 15       | A      | 8   | 15       | Q      | That's okay. Now, eight, "I have also been            |
| 16       | 0      | population, yeah.   | 16       |        | recommended to clients by healthcare providers        |
| 17<br>18 | Q      | , 1   | 17       |        | like" is it Minas or                                  |
| 1        |        | possible service for my clients, I have recommended<br>to my clients doctors and facilities that will treat | 18       | A      | Minas.  |
| 19<br>20 |        | them for their injuries, with the understanding that  | 19       | Q      | Minas, I never remember that.                         |
| 21       |        | these providers will not try to collect payment for   | 20       | A      | Nobody does.  |
| 22       |        | those services from my clients until my clients'  | 21       | Q      | " Minas Floros, DC, who are treating individuals      |
| 23       |        |   | 23       |        | that are similarly situated to my clients, in that    |
| 24       |        | claims have been settled or adjudicated;" did I read  |          |        | they did not have personal medical insurance, or the  |
| 25       | Α      | that correctly? Yep.  | 24       |        | personal means that would allow them to get the care  |
| 125      | 71     | •   | 23       |        | they needed without the assistance of an attorney;"   |
|          |        | Page 104  |          |        | Page 105  |
| 1        |        | did I read that correctly?  | 1        | Q      | Yeah.   |
| 2        | A      | You did.  | 2        | Α      | No, I'm not.  |
| 3        | Q      | Okay. Do you have anything I mean, that's what  | 3        | Q      | If you give me two, I give you one, or vice versa?    |
| 4        |        | happens in the personal injury arena, isn't it, that  | 4        | A      | I am not aware of conversations or agreements that    |
| 5        |        | sometimes attorneys refer to chiropractors and  | 5        |        | have been in place that I'm not privy to, if that     |
| 6        |        | sometimes chiropractors refer to attorneys?   | 6        |        | makes any sense.                                      |
| 7        | A      | Yes.  | 7        | Q      | Okay. Well, no one has ever told you I'll strike      |
| 8        | Q      | And you were never aware of any quid pro quo  | 8        |        | that.   |
| 9<br>10  |        | relationship between Doctor Floros or any   | 9        |        | You are not aware of any agreement between Akron      |
| 1        | ٨      | chiropractor and KNR, Nestico or Redick, were you?  | 10       |        | Square Chiropractic and/or Doctor Floros and KNR,     |
| 11       | A<br>Q | No.   | 11       |        | Nestico and Redick, are you?                          |
| 13       | Q      | And you certainly don't have one now, do you, yourself  | 12       | A      | Correct.  |
| 14       |        | MR. SKIDMORE: Objection.  | 13<br>14 | Q      | In fact, you use Doctor Floros, don't you?            |
| 15       | Q      | at Slater & Zurz?   | 15       | A<br>Q | No.<br>Never?   |
| 16       | ~      | MR. MANNION: I mean, I know he doesn't.   | 16       | A      | No. He doesn't like me.                               |
| 17       | Q      | I'm just getting it on the record that you don't, I   | 17       | Q      | Oh. You used to use Doctor Floros?                    |
| 18       | ~      | mean, that's not something you did at KNR, it's not   | 18       | A      | I wouldn't say "use." I would have as clients         |
| 19       |        | something you saw KNR do, and it's not something you  | 19       |        | patients of his, but                                  |
| 20       |        | would do, true?   | 20       | Q      | Okay. You've defended                                 |
| 21       | Α      | Can you rephrase that?  | 21       | A      | Few and far between.                                  |
| 22       | Q      | Sure. You're not aware of any quid pro quo  | 22       | Q      | He's been your expert in a case at deposition before? |
| 23       | _      | relationship?   | 23       | A      | I have taken his deposition one time.                 |
| 24       | Α      | Like an agreement between them to do a particular   | 24       | Q      | Okay. And that was for one of your clients?           |
| 25       |        | thing?  | 25       | A      | It was.   |
|          |        |   |          |        |   |

# EXHIBIT R

| CV-20 | 016-09-3928 | MICHAEL, KATHRYN                        | 06/17/2019 20:16:00 F | РМ ЕХТО                                  | Page 45 of 48  |
|-------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|
|       |             | 1                                       |                       | 3  |  |
|       | 1           | IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS            | 1                     | 1  | 5 5 1 .  |
|       | 2           | OF SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO                  |                       | On behalf of the                         |  |
|       | 3           | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~   |                       | Lewis Brisboi                            |  |
|       | 4           |   | '                     |  | MANNION, ESQ.  |
|       | 5           | MEMBER WILLIAMS et al.,                 |                       |  | h Street   |
|       | 6           |   | •                     |  |  |
|       | 7           | Plaintiffs,                             | 7                     | ' Cleveland, O                           | nio 44114  |
|       | 8           |   | 1                     |  |  |
|       | 9           | vs. Case No. CV 2016 0                  | 9 3928                | tom.mannion                              | @lewisbrisbois.com   |
|       | 10          |   | 10                    |  |  |
|       | 11          | KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al., | 11                    | -and-                                    |  |
|       | 12          |   | 12                    |  |  |
|       | 13          | Defendants.                             | 13                    |  | e by   |
|       | 14          |   | 14                    |  |  |
|       | 15<br>16    | DEPOSTRACE                              |                       |  |  |
|       | 17          | DEPOSITION OF KELLY PHILLIPS III        | 15                    |  | Street, Suite 2250   |
|       | 18          | KELLEY PHILLIPS 111                     | 16                    | 0. |  |
|       | 19          | February 22, 2019<br>10:07 a.m.         | 17                    | ()                                       |  |
|       | 20          | Taken at:                               | 18                    |  | @lewisbrisbois.com   |
|       | 21          | Pattakos Law Firm<br>101 Ghent Road     | 19                    | -and-                                    |  |
|       | 22          | Akron, OH                               | 20                    |  |  |
|       | 23          | Kurt M. Spencer, Notary Public          | 21                    |  |  |
|       | 24          |   | 22                    |  |  |
|       | 2.5         |   | 23                    |  |  |
|       |             |   | 24                    |  |  |
|       |             |   | 25                    |  |  |
|       |             | 2                                       |                       | 4  |  |
| 1     | APPEA       | RANCES:                                 | 1                     |  |  |
| 2     |             |   | 2                     | Sutter O'Conn                            | ell, by  |
| 3     | Or          | n behalf of the Plaintiff:              | 3                     | JAMES M. PO                              |  |
| 4     |             | Pattakos Law Firm, LL                   | C, by 4               | 3600 Erieview                            |  |
| 5     |             | PETER PATTAKOS, ESC                     | Q. 5                  | 1301 East 9th                            |  |
| 6     |             | 101 Ghent Road                          | 6                     | Cleveland, Oh                            |  |
| 7     |             | Akron, Ohio 44333                       | 7                     | (216) 928-220                            | The state of the s |
| 8     |             | (330) 836-8533                          | 8                     | jpopson@sutte                            |  |
| 9     |             | peter@pattakoslaw.co                    |                       | Jpopson@succ                             | er-law.com   |
| 10    |             | poto. @putturkosiawico                  | 10                    | and                                      |  |
| 11    |             |   | 1                     | -and-                                    |  |
| 12    |             |   | 11                    | Don't M. B                               |  |
| 13    |             |   | 12                    | David M. Best                            |  |
|       |             |   | 13                    | David M. BES                             |  |
| 14    |             |   | 14                    | 4900 West Bat                            |  |
| 15    |             |   | 15                    | Akron, Ohio 4                            |  |
| 16    |             |   | 16                    | (330) 665-185                            |  |
| 17    |             |   | 17                    | dmbest@dmbe                              | estlaw.com   |
| 18    |             |   | 18                    |  |  |
| 19    |             |   | 19                    |  |  |
| 20    |             |   | 20                    | ALSO PRESENT:                            |  |
| 21    |             |   | 21                    | John J. Reagar                           | n. Esa.  |
| 22    |             |   | 22                    | Rachel Hazelet                           |  |
| 23    |             |   | 23                    |  |  |
| 24    |             |   | 24                    |  |  |
| 25    |             |   | 25                    |  |  |
|       |             |   |                       |  |  |

181

different. KNR handled things certain ways, 2 and, then, Brad Keating handled things certain

3 ways. And I took from that the good stuff, and

4 the stuff I didn't like, I don't do.

5 For example, you don't like the lower level claims? 6

7 Δ Oh, no. I handled all of them. I 8 mean, those are what keep the lights on.

9 You made a comment that you wanted to talk to Rob about he could have 20% less 10

11 clients, and make 30% more money?

12 Yeah. I think if you looked at the 13 case load, yeah, I don't think there was ever 14 an examination, and he conceded there was never 15 really an examination of what cases were coming in, and what weren't. The only cases I will

16 turn down, currently, as an attorney, is if 17

somebody alludes to me that they're not 18

19 injured, because I don't represent uninjured 20 people.

2

3

4

5

7

8

15

16

18

21 Right. Q.

22 A. When they intimate to me they're 23 just trying to get money, I say find another 24 lawyer. I'm not that guy.

25 Q. But that was true when you were at

182

1 KNR, too, right?

> A. Yeah, I wouldn't know 'cause my conversations were over the phone. I never had anybody tell me they were not injured over the phone, because they were usually sitting at a chiropractor, or came in through our 1-800 number that already, you know, they were reaching out to us for a reason.

9 I don't want to forgot to get back 10 to some of the issues, but I want to talk about 11 the referrals again. So, after you left

12 Keating's office, you developed some

13 relationships with additional chiropractors,

14 who referred?

> A. Yes, sir.

> > Q. There's nothing wrong with that

17 business relationship, is there?

> Α. No, not at all.

19 Q. As long as there's not a quid pro

20 quo, right?

21 Exactly, and I don't have that with 22 any of my people that I do business with. In 23 fact, that's one of the reasons why I wasn't a 24 big fan of Columbus Spine and Rehab. I think

25 they were wanting me to promise so many cases,

and I said, "I can't do that." Especially,

**EXTO** 

when I was first starting out, you know,

because, I mean, heck, I was doing a little bit of everything, when I first started out.

Q. Right.

6 A. I was doing wills, and things of

7 that nature just to try to keep money coming in 8 until I established myself.

9 And, you never saw any agreement

10 that KNR had with somebody that said for every

11 two you send me, I'll send you one, or anything

12 like that?

5

13

16

21

22

1

4

9

13

Α. No, sir. I never saw that.

14 Q. Okay. You weren't aware of any 15 quid pro quos at KNR, fair?

> A. That is fair, yes.

17 And that's true whether it was --

18 well, I'll just -- that was true with all the

19 providers that you saw at KNR. You didn't see

20 any quid pro quo agreements, true?

No. Nothing between that, any individual provider, and KNR, no, I did not.

23 Or, any chiropractic firm, when you 24 said individual provider.

25

A. Yes, correct. That is correct.

Now, you made a comment that Q.

Brandy, the manager, would come down once in a

3 while, was a non-lawyer managing a lawyer.

> A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. You didn't let her manage you,

6 though, did you?

7 A. No, I didn't. But, she certainly

8 tried.

Q.

10 A. That's when I was cautioned don't

11 end up on her bad side. But, I liked Brandy.

12 I thought she was cool.

> Q. You knew how to handle her?

14 I would say, I think that she -- I

15 would think it was reciprocated. I think we

16 both generally liked each other as human

17 beings, and I think she knew that my pushback

18 on certain things wasn't personal against her.

19 It's not like she held it over my head, and

20 said, "You will do what I tell you to do." It

21 was just more of, no, I'm sorry. I didn't say

22 it. But, I was acting like I'm the lawyer.

23 I'm not going to --

24 Q. Right.

> -- let her tell me how to do that. A.

25

3

4

6

9

- up the case, as well. 1
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. It's going to make liability
- assessment easier, if you can get it to the 4
- 5 insurance company. Yes, sir.
  - Q. That's a good thing to do?
- 7 A. Yeah. It's always good to have a
- 8 police report early.
- 9 Q. And, there's a lot of information
- 10 on the police report that should be reviewed,
- 11 as well?

6

- 12 A. Yeah, it's usually, a lot of times,
- how you find out who the at-fault insurance is, 13
- 14 because, a lot to times, at the scene, people
- 15 don't even know. They exchanged information,
- 16 and, things of that nature. So, yeah, there is
- oftentimes information that's absolutely 17
- beneficial to starting, and getting that claim 18
- 19 rolling.
- 20 Q. If you had an attorney bad mouth
- 21 you to a chiropractor, who didn't know you real 22
- well, or even if you knew him well, and that
- 23 impacted your business, would you be upset?
- 24 If I had an attorney --
- 25 Q. Yeah.

214

- 1 Α. Bad mouth ---
- 2 Yeah. Let's say someone called up
- 3 Dr. Fonner right now, and started really bad
- 4 mouthing you to him, and saying that you were a
- 5 really bad guy, and, all of a sudden, Dr.
- Fonner thought, well, I'm not going to refer 6
- 7 cases to him anymore, would you be upset?
- 8 A. I would say under that particular 9 scenario, certainly, yeah.
- 10 I mean, that's not something you 11
  - would want someone to do, is it?
- I don't think, honestly, anybody 13 should really bad mouth anybody else. It's just a matter of you can discuss how you do
- business differently than other people do, 15 16 that's what you discuss.
- 17 And, you're not aware of any quid 18 pro quo with Dr. Fonner that KNR had, or that
- 19 you had, fair?

12

14

21

- That's fair, yeah. 20 A.
  - Q. Have you ever done business with
- 22 Dr. Floros, Akron Square, I assume you haven't?
- 23 You know what, I had some Akron 24 case, but they just somehow came to me. I
- don't know how I ended up with them. I had

- 215
- 1 nothing to do with the sign-up, or anything,
- 2 but, somehow, I managed the tail end of them.
  - Q.
  - A. But, very few. I would say, maybe,
- 5 less than a handful.
  - Q. Do you even remember who was on the
- 7 cases for Floros?
- 8 A. No, I do not.
  - Q. So, you don't know anything about
- 10 Akron Square, Dr. Floros?
- 11 No, other than I met with somebody
- that KNR did business up in this region with, 12
- 13 but no.
- 14 Q. Earlier, when Mr. Pattakos was
- 15 asking questions, you said, "I assumed that KNR
- 16 had a good relationship with Naz."
- 17 Well, otherwise, you wouldn't send that many cases to her. I guess, it's just 18
- 19 logical to me.
- 20 My question is, you weren't 21
- implying something improper there, were you? 22
- No. I wasn't aware of any quid pro 23 quos. So, no, that's where they were -- she
- was the preferred person of choice, if they
- 24
- 25 didn't have anybody else.

216

- Q. Just like you have preferred
- 2 chiropractors you like to use?
  - A. That's fair.
    - How did it work at Keating's Q.
- 5 office?

1

3

4

7

- 6 A. How so?
  - Q. Signing up cases? Referring cases
- 8 out?
- 9 A. Pretty much, a very similar fashion
- as to what I do now. You know, I would go out 10
- 11 and meet the clients, or, you know, have people
- contact me from representing them previously, 12
- 13 or being referred to me. And, if they were
- 14 interested in treating at First Choice, I would
- 15 meet them there, or if they wanted to go to a
- different chiropractor, like I said, I honestly 16
- don't discriminate. They can go wherever they 17
- want. I just explain the benefits, or what I 18
- 19 know about the chiropractors that I do business
- 20 with, and if they have someone they have a
- 21 preexisting relationship with, or that has been
- 22 recommended to them, I'll do business with
- 23 anybody, even the ones I don't like.
- 24 When you first went there, did
  - Mr. Keating tell you, "Hey, here's the

25

365

expense to that chiropractor, beyond the bills

2 themselves, that, if you were going to

3 extremely cut them, that there could be

4 situations where you're putting them almost in

5 the negative, because they had marketing costs

6 with acquiring that client.

So, to me, it makes sense. I do

8 think that there's a reason to track who

referred to who, just, so you can keep in mind, 9

everybody's costs involved. 10

11 And, you said that you don't see

12 anything wrong with tracking referrals, in

13 fact, you just said that. But, it all depends

14 on your reasons for doing so, correct?

MR. BEST: Objection.

16 Α. Yeah. Sure.

17 Q. Well, if you were tracking

18 referrals to keep track of, and enforce a guid

19 pro quo, then, it would be not appropriate.

20 correct?

7

15

21 A. Yeah. I don't agree with guid pro

22 quo, yes.

23 Q. So, when the investigators were at

24 the chiropractors office, you testified about

how a lawyer would have to get on the phone to

366

1 explain the forms?

2 A. Yes.

3 Was that on every case that the

investigators signed up the client at the 4

5 chiropractor, or was it only when the client

6 had questions?

11

7 A. On my cases, it was every case.

8 And, you expected the investigators

9 to be on call every day to handle these

10 sign-ups, didn't you?

MR. POPSON: Objection.

12 I didn't expect -- they just were.

13 I didn't have control over them.

14 But, you knew there was going to be

15 Wes, or someone on call every day to handle

16 these sign-ups, correct?

17 Yeah. And they would also tell you

18 when people were out of rotation, or if there

19 was only one investigator working that day.

20 And, also, a lot of times, the investigators

21 would report in, or it would make its way

22 around the office, you know, Wes is at Town &

23 Country right now meeting with somebody. That

24 way, if you were on the phone with somebody who

25 had called in on, like, the 1-800 -- whatever

03/13/2019 05:03:54 PM

367

1 the hell it is -- HURT NOW, number, then, you

2 can say, "Oh, you can go to Town & Country

3 right now. We have somebody there."

4 Did you ever become aware of Wes

doing "investigative work," or other law firms,

6 or anyone who wasn't a KNR client? 7

**EXTO** 

Not to my knowledge, no. My

8 exposure to him was limited to my cases, I

guess I would say.

10 Sure. Did you become aware of any Q.

11 of the other investigators, so-called

12 investigators, that the law firm used in

13 Columbus, doing any kind of investigative work

14 for anywhere else, except for KNR?

I don't know if there is an

16 exclusivity type of agreement with them, or

17 not. But Tom Fischer, and I think there was

18 another guy, maybe, Paul Hildenbrandt, I can't

remember if he was in Columbus or not. There 19

20 were three of them, if I remember correctly.

But, what those guys did, you know, Wes, was 21

22 always more readily available. Those guys, you

23 know, didn't seem to work quite as much as Wes

24 did.

1

4

14

18

23

24

9

15

25 Q. Maybe, they were fill-ins for Wes.

368

They could be, or, maybe, they only A.

agreed to work so many days a week. I don't 2

3 know.

> Q. But, did you ever become aware that

5 those guys were investigators who did

investigative work on other cases for other law

firms? 7

8 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

9 Q. You agree that on any case you

10 handle, as a personal injury attorney, if there

11 is a police report, in order to handle the case

12 effectively, you have to get your hands on that

police report? 13

> A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. It would be your duty, your professional duty, to obtain that police

17 report, correct?

> A. Yes. Sure.

19 Q. Did anything -- did you ever come

20 to understand that the firm operated under

21 different policies in the other offices, apart

22 from the contingent fee difference?

MR. MANNION: Objection.

I was under the impression

everybody operated under the same.